Multilinear interpolation theorems with applications

Mieczysław Mastyło

Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, and Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences (Poznań branch)

VI CIDAMA Antequera, September 08-12, 2014

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Outline

- Important bilinear operators
- Ø Bilinear interpolation theorems
- 8 Bilinear operators between Calderón–Lozanovskii spaces
- 4 Applications
- **6** Interpolation of analytic families of multilinear operators
- 6 Applications to Hardy spaces
- An application to the bilinear Bochner-Riesz operators

Important bilinear operators

A bounded measurable function σ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (called a multiplier) leads to a bilinear operator W_σ defined by

$$W_{\sigma}(f,g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(\xi,\eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i \langle x,\xi+\eta
angle} d\xi d\eta$$

for every f, g in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .

• The study of such bilinear multiplier operators was initiated by Coifman and Meyer (1978). They proved that if $1 < p, q < \infty$, 1/r = 1/p + 1/q and σ satisfies

 $|\partial_{\xi}^{lpha}\partial_{\eta}^{eta}\sigma(\xi,\eta)|\leqslant \mathcal{C}_{lpha,eta}(|\xi|+|\eta|)^{-|lpha|-|eta|}$

for sufficiently large multi-indices α and β , then W_{σ} extends to a bilinear operator from $L_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L_{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever r > 1. Here as usual $L_{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weak L_r space of Marcinkiewicz.

- This result was later extended to the range 1 > r > 1/2 by Grafakos and Torres (1996) and Kenig and Stein (1999).
- Multipliers that satisfy the Marcinkiewicz condition were studied by Grafakos and Kalton (2001).
- The first significant boundedness results concerning non-smooth symbols were proved by Lacey and Thiele (1997, 1999) who established that W_σ with σ(ξ, η) = sign(ξ + αη), α ∈ ℝ \ {0,1} has a bounded extension from L_p(ℝⁿ) × L_q(ℝⁿ) to L_r(ℝⁿ) if 2/3 < r < ∞, 1 < p, q ≤ ∞, and 1/r = 1/p + 1/q. Extensions of this result was subsequently obtained by Gilbert and Nahmod (2001).
- The bilinear Hilbert transform $H_{ heta}$ is defined for a parameter $heta \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H_ heta(f,g)(x):=\lim_{arepsilon
ightarrow 0}\int_{|t|>arepsilon}f(x-t)g(x+ heta t)rac{1}{t}\,dt,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}$$

for functions f, g from the Schwartz class $S(\mathbb{R})$. The family $\{H_{\theta}\}$ was introduced by Calderón in his study of the first commutator, an operator arising in a series decomposition of the Cauchy integral along Lipschitz curves. In 1977 Calderón posed the question whether H_{θ} satisfies any L_{p} estimates.

- In their fundamental work (Ann. of Math. **149** (1999), 475-496) Lacey and Thiele proved that if $\theta \neq -1$, then the bilinear Hilbert transform H_{θ} extends to a bilinear operator from $L_p \times L_q$ into L_r whenever $1 < p, q \leq \infty$ and 1/p + 1/q = 1/r < 3/2.
- The bilinear Hilbert transforms arise in a variety of other related known problems in bilinear Fourier analysis, e.g., in the study of the convergence of the mixed Fourier series of the form

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{\substack{|m-\theta|\leqslant N\\|m-n|\leqslant N}}\widehat{f}(m)\widehat{g}(n)e^{2\pi i(m+n)x}.$$

• Fan and Sato in 2001 were able to show the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform H on the torus $\mathbb T$

$$H(f,g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x-t)g(x+t)\operatorname{ctg}(\pi t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}$$

by transferring the result from \mathbb{R} . Their proof relies upon some DeLeeuw (1969) type transference methods for multipliers.

Definition

A quasi-Banach lattice X is said to be *p*-convex if there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$, we have

$$\left\|\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p}\right\|_X \leqslant C\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_X^p\right)^{1/p}$$

The least C is denoted by $M^{(p)}(X)$.

Definition

Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset X$ is said to be weakly *p*-summable if the scalar sequences $\{x^*(x_n)\} \in \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ for every $x^* \in X^*$. The space of all weakly *p*-summing sequences in X is denoted by $\ell_p^w(X)$. It is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|\{x_n\}\|_{\ell_p^w(X)} := \sup \Big\{ \Big(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |x^*(x_n)|^p \Big)^{1/p}; \, \|x^*\|_{X^*} \leqslant 1 \Big\}.$$

(a)

Bilinear interpolation theorems (M. M., 2013)

Let $\overline{X} = (X_0, X_1)$, $\overline{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ and $\overline{Z} = (Z_0, Z_1)$ be quasi-Banach couples.

Definition

- (i) We will say that $T := (T_0, T_1)$ is a bilinear operator from $\overline{X} \times \overline{Y}$ into \overline{Z} , and write $T : \overline{X} \times \overline{Y} \to \overline{Z}$ if $T_0 : X_0 \times Y_0 \to Z_0$ and $T_1 : X_1 \times Y_1 \to Z_1$ are bilinear operator such that $T_0(x, y) = T_1(x, y)$ for every $x \in X_0 \cap X_1$, $y \in Y_0 \cap Y_1$.
- (ii) If additionally X, Y and Z are intermediate quasi-Banach spaces with respect to X̄, Ȳ and Z̄, respectively, then we say that T: X̄ × Ȳ → Z̄ extends to a bilinear operator from X × Y into Z provided that T₀ has a bilinear extension from X × Y into Z.

Lemma

Let Y be a maximal p-convex quasi-Banach lattice on (Ω, μ) and $T: c_0 \times c_0 \to Y$ be a bilinear operator. If a sequence $\{a_{km}\}_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is such that $C := \sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_{km}|^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty$, then the series $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{km}T(e_k, e_{m-k})$ converges in Y for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we put

$$y_m := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{km} T(e_k, e_{m-k}), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

then for any sequence $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of disjoint sets $I_n \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and any sequence $\{\delta_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of real numbers with $|\delta_m| \leq 1$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\sum_{m\in I_n} \delta_m y_m\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \in Y$ and

$$\left\|\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left|\sum_{m\in I_n}\delta_m y_m\right|^2\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant CA_{\rho}^{-2}M^{(\rho)}(Y) \|T\|_{c_0\times c_0\to Y}.$$

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Definition

 \mathcal{P} denotes the set of all positive quasi-concave functions ρ on $(0, \infty)$, i.e. such that both ρ and $t \mapsto t\rho(1/t)$ are nondecreasing functions. We let \mathcal{P}_0 denote the subset of \mathcal{P} consisting of all ρ such that $\rho(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0+$, and $\rho(t)/t \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. On \mathcal{P} , we define an involution by $\rho^*(t) = 1/\rho(1/t)$ for every t > 0 and we put $\mathcal{P}^* := \mathcal{P}_0 \cap (\mathcal{P}_0)^*$.

Lemma

Let $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho \in \mathcal{P}_0$ be such that $\{\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_1 + \ell_1(2^{-n}) \text{ and } \rho(st) \ge C\rho_0(s)\rho_1(t) \text{ for some } C > 0 \text{ and for every } s, t > 0$. Assume that (Y_0, Y_1) is a Banach couple and

 $T: (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \times (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \to (Y_0, Y_1)$

is a bilinear operator. Then we have

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\left\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\xi_k\eta_{m-k}T_0(e_k,e_{m-k})\right\|_{Y_0+Y_1}<\infty$$

for every sequences $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ in $c_0 \cap c_0(2^{-n})$.

Definition

Let (X_0, X_1) be a Banach couple and let $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_0$.

(i) If $\{\rho(2^n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_2 + \ell_2(2^{-n})$, then the space $G_{\rho,2}(X_0, X_1)$ consists of all elements $x \in X_0 + X_1$ for which $x = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} x_n$ (convergence in $X_0 + X_1$), where the elements $x_n \in X_0 \cap X_1$ are such that $\{2^{in}x_n/\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_2^{w}(X_j)$ for j = 0, 1. $G_{\rho,2}(X_0, X_1)$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \max_{j=0,1} \left\| \{2^{jn} x_n / \rho(2^n)\} \right\|_{\ell_2^{\mathsf{w}}(X_j)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n$ as above.

(ii) The space ⟨X₀, X₁⟩_ρ consists of all elements x ∈ X₀ + X₁ such that x = ∑_{n∈ℤ} x_n (convergence in X₀ + X₁), where the elements x_n ∈ X₀ ∩ X₁ are such that ∑_{n∈ℤ} x_n/ρ(2ⁿ) is unconditionally convergent in X₀ and ∑_{n∈ℤ} 2ⁿx_n/ρ(2ⁿ) is unconditionally convergent in X₁. ⟨X₀, X₁⟩_ρ is equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \max_{j=0,1} \sup \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_n 2^{jn} x_n / \rho(2^n) \right\|_{X_j}$$

where the supremum is taken over all complex valued sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ with $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$ for all *n*, and the infimum is taken over all representations of $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n$.

Theorem

Let $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho \in \mathcal{P}_0$ be such that $\{\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_1 + \ell_1(2^{-n}) \text{ and } \rho(st) \ge C\rho_0(s)\rho_1(t)$ for some C > 0 and every s, t > 0. Assume that (Y_0, Y_1) is a Banach couple and $T: (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \times (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \to (Y_0, Y_1)$ is a bilinear operator. Then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\colon c_0(1/
ho_0(2^n)) imes c_0(1/
ho_1(2^n)) o \mathcal{G}_{
ho,2}(Y_0,Y_1).$

Theorem

Let $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_0$ be such that $\{\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_1 + \ell_1(2^{-n})$ and $\rho(st) \ge C\rho_0(s)\rho_1(t)$ for some C > 0 and for every s, t > 0. Assume that $T: (X_0, X_1) \times (Y_0, Y_1) \to (Z_0, Z_1)$ is a bilinear operator between Banach couples. Then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{T}: \langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_{\rho_0} \times \langle Y_0, Y_1 \rangle_{\rho_1} \to \mathcal{G}_{\rho,2}(Z_0, Z_1).$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Definition

Given a Banach couple $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $0 \neq a \in A_0 + A_1$ and any Banach couple \overline{X} an *interpolation orbit* of *a* in \overline{X} is a Banach space

$$\operatorname{Orb}_{\overline{A}}(a,\overline{X}) := \left\{ Ta; \ T: \overline{A} \to \overline{X} \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \|T\|_{\overline{A} \to \overline{X}}; x = Ta, \ T : \overline{A} \to \overline{X} \right\}.$$

Lemma

Let ρ be a quasi-concave function such that $\xi_{\rho} := \{\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_2 + \ell_2(2^{-n})$. Then for any Banach couple \overline{X} , we have

$$G_{
ho,2}(\overline{X}) = Orb_{(\ell_2,\ell_2(2^{-n}))}(\xi_{
ho},\overline{X})$$

isometrically.

(a)

Bilinear operators between Calderón–Lozanovskii spaces

Definition

Let Φ the set of all functions $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that are positive, non-decreasing in each variable, and homogeneous of degree one (that is, $\varphi(\lambda s, \lambda t) = \lambda \varphi(s, t)$ for all $\lambda, s, t \ge 0$). Let $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\overline{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ be a couple of quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space (Ω, μ) . Following Lozanovskii, we define the space $\varphi(\overline{X}) = \varphi(X_0, X_1)$ of all $x \in L_0(\mu)$ such that $|x| = \varphi(|x_0|, |x_1|)$ for some $x_j \in X_j$, j = 0, 1. It is a quasi-Banach lattice equipped with the quasi-norm

 $\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \max\{\|x_0\|_{X_0}, \|x_1\|_{X_1}\}; \ |x| = \varphi(|x_0|, |x_1|) \ x_j \in X_j, \ j = 0, 1 \right\}.$

Note that if φ is concave and (X_0, X_1) is a Banach couple, then $\varphi(\overline{X})$ is a Banach lattice.

Definition

A quasi-concave function ρ is called a *quasi-power* ($\rho \in \mathcal{P}^{+-}$) if $s_{\rho}(t) = o(\max(1, t))$ as $t \to 0$ and $t \to \infty$, where $s_{\rho}(t) := \sup_{u>0} (\rho(tu)/\rho(u))$ for every t > 0. The set of all $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that $\rho := \varphi(1, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ (resp., $\rho \in \mathcal{P}^{+-}$, $\rho \in \mathcal{P}^*$) is denoted by Φ_0 (resp., Φ^{+-}, Φ^*); $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ ($\varphi_1 \stackrel{0}{\sim} \varphi_2$ or $\varphi_1 \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \varphi_2$) means that there exist C_1, C_2 and t_0 or t_∞ such that $C_1\varphi_1(t) \leq \varphi_2(t) \leq C_2\varphi_1(t)$ for all t > 0 ($0 < t \leq t_0$ or $t \ge t_\infty$, respectively).

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \Phi^{+-}$ be a concave function and let $\rho(t) = \varphi(1, t)$ for t > 0. Then for any couple $(L_{p_0}(w_0), L_{p_1}(w_1))$ of weighted L_p -spaces on a measure space, we have

 $G_{\rho,2}(L_{\rho_0}(w_0),L_{\rho_1}(w_1))=\varphi(L_{r_0}(w_0),L_{r_1}(w_1)),$

where $1/r_j = \max\{0, 1/p_j - 1/2\}$ for j = 0, 1.

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \Phi^{+-}$ be a concave function such that $\varphi(1, s)\varphi(1, t) \leq C\varphi(1, st)$ for some C > 0and every s, t > 0. If $T: (X_0, X_1) \times (Y_0, Y_1) \to (L_{\rho_0}(w_0), L_{\rho_1}(w_1))$ is a bilinear operator, then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}: \varphi(X_0, X_1)^{\circ} \times \varphi(Y_0, Y_1)^{\circ} \rightarrow \varphi(L_{r_0}(w_0), L_{r_1}(w_1)),$

where $1/r_j = \max\{0, 1/p_j - 1/2\}$ for j = 0, 1.

A D > A B > A B > A B >

Bilinear operators between Calderón–Lozanovskii spaces

Corollary

Let $\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho \in \mathcal{P}_0$ be such that $\{\rho(2^n)\} \in \ell_1 + \ell_1(2^{-n}) \text{ and } \rho(st) \ge C\rho_0(s)\rho_1(t) \text{ for some } C > 0 \text{ and every } s, t > 0.$ If $T: (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \times (c_0, c_0(2^{-n})) \to (\ell_1, \ell_1(2^n))$, then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}: \, c_0(1/
ho_0(2^n)) imes c_0(1/
ho_1(2^n)) o \ell_2(1/
ho(2^{-n})).$

Bilinear operators between Calderón–Lozanovskii spaces

Definition

A quasi-Banach space X is said to be *p*-normable if there exists a constant C such that for any $x_1, ..., x_n \in X$ we have

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right\|_X \leqslant C\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_X^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

It is well known that a fundamental theorem of Aoki and Rolewicz asserts that every quasi-Banach space is *p*-normable for some 0 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi \in \mathcal{P}^*$ and $\varphi \in \Phi^*$ be such that $\{\varphi(1, 2^n)\} \in \ell_p + \ell_p(2^{-n})$ for some $0 and let <math>\sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\rho_0(2^k) \rho_1(2^{k-m}) / \varphi(1, 2^m) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty$. Assume that $T: (X_0, X_1) \times (Y_0, Y_1) \to (Z_0, Z_1)$ is a bilinear operator between couples of quasi-Banach lattices. If both Z_0 and Z_1 are p-normable with nontrivial concavity, then T extends to a bilinear operator

$$\widetilde{T}: \varphi_0(X_0, X_1)^\circ \times \varphi_1(Y_0, Y_1)^\circ \to \varphi(Z_0, Z_1).$$

Definition

We define a subclass \mathcal{P}_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) of \mathcal{P} containing of all ρ satisfying the condition:

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{1}{\rho(2^m)}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(\rho(2^k)\rho(2^{m-k}))^2\Big)^{1/2}<\infty.$$

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \Phi^{+-}$ be such that $\rho = \varphi(1, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Assume that $T: (X_0, X_1) \times (Y_0, Y_1) \to (Z_0, Z_1)$ is a bilinear operator between quasi-Banach lattices. If Z_0 and Z_1 have nontrivial concavity, then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}: \varphi(X_0, X_1)^\circ \times \varphi(Y_0, Y_1)^\circ \to \varphi(Z_0, Z_1).$

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \Phi^{+-}$ be such that $\rho = \varphi(1, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Assume that $T: (X_0, X_1) \times (Y_0, Y_1) \to (Z_0, Z_1)$ is a bilinear operator between Banach couples. Then T extends to a bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{T}: \langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_{\rho} \times \langle Y_0, Y_1 \rangle_{\rho} \to \varphi_u(Z_0, Z_1).$

We give examples of functions satisfying a stronger condition than the one required in proceeding theorems. Following Astashkin, we define ϕ by

$$\phi(t) = egin{cases} t^a \, \mathsf{ln}^c(\mathcal{C}_1/t), & 0 < t \leqslant 1, \ t^b \, \mathsf{ln}^d(\mathcal{C}_2\,t), & t > 1, \end{cases}$$

where 0 < a < b < 1, c > 1, d > 1 and constants $C_1 > e^{c/a}$, $C_2 > e^d d/(1-b)$ are chosen such that ϕ is continuous. Then ϕ is a quasi-power and satisfies

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{\phi(2^m)}{\phi(2^k)\phi(2^{m-k})}<\infty.$$

In consequence ho defined by $ho(t):=t/\phi(t)$ for every t>0 satisfies

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\;rac{1}{
ho(2^m)}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}
ho(2^k)
ho(2^{m-k})<\infty,$$

and whence $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_2$.

Lemma

Suppose $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $\varphi \in \Phi$ is such that $C\varphi(1, st) \geq \varphi(1, s)\varphi(1, t)$ for some C > 0 and every s, t > 0, then $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_p$, where $\rho(t) := \varphi(\rho_0(t), \rho_1(t))$ for all t > 0.

Applications

We recall that if ψ is an Orlicz function (i.e., $\psi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is increasing, continuous and $\psi(0) = 0$), then the Orlicz space L_{ψ} on a given measure space (Ω, μ) is defined to be a subspace of $L_0(\mu)$ consisting of all $f \in L_0(\mu)$ such that for some $\lambda > 0$ holds $\int_{\Omega} \psi(\lambda |f|) d\mu < \infty.$

If there exists C > 0 such that $\psi(t/C) \leq \psi(t)/2$ for every t > 0, then L_{ψ} is a quasi-Banach lattice with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying

 $\|f+g\| \leqslant C(\|f\|+\|g\|), \quad f,g \in L_{\psi},$

where $\|f\| := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\Omega} \psi \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}$. A simple calculation shows for any couple (L_{p_0}, L_{p_1}) of L_{ρ} -spaces on a measure space (Ω, μ) with $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$, we have

 $\varphi(L_{p_0},L_{p_1})=L_{\psi}$

with equivalence of the quasi-norms, where $\psi^{-1}(t) = \varphi(t^{1/p_0}, t^{1/p_1})$ for every $t \ge 0$.

Theorem

Assume $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_2$, $1 \leq u_j$, $v_j < \infty$ and $0 < s_j < \infty$ for j = 0, 1 and let $T: (L_{u_0}, L_{u_1}) \times (L_{v_0}, L_{v_1}) \rightarrow (L_{s_0}, L_{s_1})$ be a bilinear operator between couples of L_p spaces. Then T extends to a bounded bilinear operator

 $\widetilde{T}: L_{\psi_0} \times L_{\psi_1} \to L_{\psi}$

between Orlicz spaces with

- $\psi_0^{-1}(t) \sim t^{1/u_0} \rho(t^{1/u_1-1/u_0})$,
- $\psi_1^{-1}(t) \sim t^{1/v_0} \rho(t^{1/v_1-1/v_0}),$
- $\psi^{-1}(t) \sim t^{1/s_0} \rho(t^{1/s_1-1/s_0}).$

э

Applications

An important class of Orlicz spaces are Zygmund classes.

For $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$ the Zygmund space $\mathbb{Z}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ on a measure space (Ω, μ) consists of all $f \in L_0(\mu)$ such that

$$\int_{\{|f|\leqslant 1\}} |f|^{p} (1-\log |f|)^{\alpha} \, d\mu + \int_{\{|f|>1\}} |f|^{q} (1+\log |f|)^{\beta} \, d\mu < \infty.$$

It is clear that if $\psi: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is an Orlicz function such that $\psi(t) \stackrel{0}{\sim} t^{p}(1 - \log t)^{\alpha}$ and $\psi(t) \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} t^{q}(1 + \log t)^{q}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ coincides with L_{Φ} . We equipped $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ generated by shown Φ .

Theorem

For every $\theta \neq -1$ the bilinear Hilbert transform H_{θ} extends to a bilinear operator from $\mathcal{Z}_{p_{0},q_{0}}^{\alpha_{0},\beta_{0}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{p_{1},q_{1}}^{\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}$ into $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}^{\alpha,\beta}$ provided $1 < p_{0} < q_{0} < \infty$, $1 < p_{1} < q_{1} < \infty$, $1/p_{0} + 1/p_{1} = 1/p < 3/2$, $\alpha_{0}/p_{0} = \alpha_{1}/p_{1} = \alpha/p > 1$ and $\beta_{0}/q_{0} = \beta_{1}/q_{1} = \beta/q > 1$.

Interpolation of analytic families of multilinear operators (L. Grafakos & M. M., 2014)

- Stein's interpolation theorem [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1956)] for analytic families of operators between L^p spaces $(p \ge 1)$ has found several significant applications in harmonic analysis. This theorem provides a generalization of the classical single-operator Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem to a family $\{T_z\}$ of operators that depend analytically on a complex variable z.
- In the framework of Banach spaces, interpolation for analytic families of multilinear operators can be obtained via duality in a way similar to that used in the linear case. For instance, one may adapt the proofs in Zygmund book and Berg and Löfstrom for a single multilinear operator to a family of multilinear operators. However, this duality-based approach is not applicable to quasi-Banach spaces since their topological dual spaces may be trivial.

The open strip $\{z; 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$ in the complex plane is denoted by S, its closure by \overline{S} and its boundary by ∂S .

Definition Let A(S) be the space of scalar-valued functions, analytic in S and continuous and bounded in \overline{S} . For a given couple (A_0, A_1) of quasi-Banach spaces and A another quasi-Banach space satisfying $A \subset A_0 \cap A_1$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(A)$ the space of all functions $f: S \to A$ that can be written as finite sums of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varphi_k(z) a_k, \quad z \in \overline{S},$$

where $a_k \in A$ and $\varphi_k \in A(S)$. For every $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ we set

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})} = \max \{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(it)\|_{\mathcal{A}_0}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(1+it)\|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \}.$$

Remark Clearly we have that $||a||_{\theta} \leq ||a||_{A_0 \cap A_1}$ for every $a \in A_0 \cap A_1$, and notice that $||\cdot||_{\theta}$ could be identically zero.

Definition A quasi-Banach couple is said to be admissible whenever $\|\cdot\|_{\theta}$ is a quasi-norm on $A_0 \cap A_1$, and in this case, the quasi-normed space $(A_0 \cap A_1, \|\cdot\|_{\theta})$ is denoted by $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta}$.

Remark If A is dense in $A_0 \cap A_1$, then for every $a \in A$ we have

 $\|a\|_{\theta} = \inf\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(A)}; f \in \mathcal{F}(A), f(\theta) = a\}.$

Definition If there is a completion of $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta}$ which is set-theoretically contained in $A_0 + A_1$, then it is denoted by $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta}$.

Definition A continuous function $F: \overline{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ which is analytic in S is said to be of admissible growth if there is $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ such that

$$\sup_{z\in\overline{S}}\frac{\log|F(z)|}{e^{\alpha|\operatorname{Im} z|}}<\infty.$$

Lemma [I. I. Hirchman, J. Analyse Math. (1953)] If a function $F: \overline{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, continuous on \overline{S} , and is of admissible growth, then

$$\log |F(\theta)| \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |F(it)| P_0(\theta, t) dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |F(1+it)| P_1(\theta, t) dt,$$

where P_j (j=0,1) are the Poisson kernels for the strip given by

$$P_j(x + iy, t) = \frac{e^{-\pi(t-y)} \sin \pi x}{\sin^2 \pi x + (\cos \pi x - (-1)^j e^{-\pi(t-y)})^2}, \quad x + iy \in \overline{S}.$$

Definition Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let $\mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_m$ be linear spaces. The family $\{T_z\}_{z\in\overline{S}}$ of multilinear operators $T: \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m \to \widetilde{L}^0(\mu)$ is said to be analytic if for any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$ and for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the function

 $z \mapsto T_z(x_1, ..., x_m)(\omega), \quad z \in \overline{S}$

is analytic in S and continuous on \overline{S} . Additionally, if for j = 0 and j = 1 the function

 $(t,\omega)\mapsto T_{j+it}(x_1,...,x_n)(\omega), \quad (t,\omega)\in\mathbb{R}\times\Omega$ (*)

is $(\mathcal{L} \times \Sigma)$ -measurable for every $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$, and for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the function given by formula (*) is of admissible growth, then the family $\{T_z\}$ is said to be an admissible analytic family. Here \mathcal{L} is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem For each $1 \le i \le m$, let $\overline{X}_i = (X_{0i}, X_{1i})$ be admissible couples of quasi-Banach spaces, and let (Y_0, Y_1) be a couple of maximal quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that each Y_j is p_j -convex for j = 0, 1. Assume that \mathcal{X}_i is a dense linear subspace of $X_{0i} \cap X_{1i}$ for each $1 \le i \le m$, and that $\{T_z\}_{z \in \overline{S}}$ is an admissible analytic family of multilinear operators $T_z: \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m \to Y_0 \cap Y_1$. Suppose that for every $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and j = 0, 1,

$||T_{j+it}(x_1,...,x_m)||_{Y_j} \leq K_j(t)||x_1||_{X_{j1}}\cdots ||x_m||_{X_{jm}}$

where K_j are Lebesgue measurable functions such that $K_j \in L^{p_j}(P_j(\theta, \cdot) dt)$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then for all $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$, all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and all $0 < \theta < 1$ we have

$$\|T_{\theta+is}(x_1,...,x_m)\|_{Y_0^{1-\theta}Y_1^{\theta}} \leq (M^{(p_0)}(Y_0))^{1-\theta}(M^{(p_1)}(Y_1))^{\theta}K_{\theta}(s)\prod_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|_{(X_{0i},X_{1i})_{\theta}},$$

where

$$\log K_{\theta}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(\theta, t) \log K_0(t+s) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\theta, t) \log K_1(t+s) dt.$$

Lemma Let (X_0, X_1) be a couple of complex quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that X_0 is p_0 -convex and X_1 is p_1 -convex. Then for every $0 < \theta < 1$ we have

 $\|x\|_{X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^{\theta}} \leqslant (M^{(p_0)}(X_0))^{1-\theta} (M^{(p_1)}(X_1))^{\theta} \, \|x\|_{(X_0,X_1)_{\theta}}, \quad x \in X_0 \cap X_1.$

In particular (X_0, X_1) is an admissible quasi-Banach couple.

Lemma Let (X_0, X_1) be a couple of complex quasi-Banach lattices on a measure (Ω, Σ, μ) . If $x_j \in X_j$ are such that $|x_j|$ (j = 0, 1) are bounded above and their non-zero values have positive lower bounds, then

 $|x_0|^{1-\theta}|x_1|^{\theta} \in (X_0,X_1)_{\theta}$

and

$$\left\| |x_0|^{1-\theta} |x_1|^{\theta} \right\|_{(X_0,X_1)_{\theta}} \leq \|x_0\|_{X_0}^{1-\theta} \|x_1\|_{X_1}^{\theta}.$$

Corollary Let (X_0, X_1) be a couple of complex quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . If $x \in X_0 \cap X_1$ has an order continuous norm in $X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^{\theta}$, then for every $0 < \theta < 1$,

 $||x||_{(X_0,X_1)_{\theta}} \leq ||x||_{X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^{\theta}}.$

Theorem Let (X_0, X_1) be a couple of complex quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space with nontrivial lattice convexity constants. If the space $X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^{\theta}$ has order continuous quasi-norm, then

 $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta} = X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}$

up equivalences of norms (isometrically, provided that lattice convexity constants are equal to 1). In particular this holds if at least one of the spaces X_0 or X_1 is order continuous.

Theorem For each $1 \le i \le m$, let (X_{0i}, X_{1i}) be complex quasi-Banach function lattices and let Y_j be complex p_j -convex maximal quasi-Banach function lattices with p_j -convexity constants equal 1 for j = 0, 1. Suppose that either X_{0i} or X_{1i} is order continuous for each $1 \le i \le m$. Let T be a multilinear operator defined on $(X_{01} + X_{11}) \times \cdots \times (X_{0m} + X_{1m})$ and taking values in $Y_0 + Y_1$ such that

 $T: X_{i1} \times \cdots \times X_{im} \to Y_i$

is bounded with quasi-norm M_i for i = 0, 1. Then for $0 < \theta < 1$,

 $\mathcal{T} \colon (X_{01})^{1- heta}(X_{11})^{ heta} imes \cdots imes (X_{0m})^{1- heta}(X_{1m})^{ heta} o Y_0^{1- heta}Y_1^{ heta}$

is bounded with the quasi-norm

$$\|T\| \leqslant M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta}.$$

As an application we obtain the following interpolation theorem for operators was proved by Kalton (1990), which was applied to study a problem in uniqueness of structure in quasi-Banach lattices (Kalton's proof uses a deep theorem by Nikishin and the theory of Hardy H_p -spaces on the unit disc).

Theorem Let $(\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2)$ be measures spaces. Let X_i , i = 0, 1, be complex p_i -convex quasi-Banach lattices on $(\Omega_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ and let Y_i be complex p_i -convex maximal quasi-Banach lattices on $(\Omega_2, \Sigma_2, \mu_2)$ with p_i -convexity constants equal 1. Suppose that either X_0 or X_1 is order continuous. Let $T: X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow L^0(\mu_2)$ be a continuous operator such that $T(X_0) \subset Y_0$ and $T(X_1) \subset Y_1$. Then for $0 < \theta < 1$,

$$T: X_0^{1- heta} X_1^{ heta} o Y_0^{1- heta} Y_1^{ heta}$$

and

$$\|T\|_{X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^{\theta}\to Y_0^{1-\theta}Y_1^{\theta}} \leqslant \|T\|_{X_0\to Y_0}^{1-\theta}\|T\|_{X_1\to Y_1}^{\theta}.$$

Applications to Hardy spaces

Suppose that there is an operator \mathcal{M} defined on a linear subspace of $\tilde{L}^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and taking values in $\tilde{L}^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that:

- (a) For j = 0 and j = 1 the function $(t, x) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(h(j + it, \cdot))(\omega)$, $(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ is $\mathcal{L} \times \Sigma$ -measurable for any function $h: \partial S \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega \mapsto h(j + it, \omega)$ is Σ -measurable for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{M}(\lambda h)(\omega) = |\lambda| \mathcal{M}(h)(\omega)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (c) For every function h as in above there is an exceptional set $E_h \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(E_h) = 0$ such that for $j \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\mathcal{M}\bigg(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t,\cdot)P_j(\theta,t)\,dt\bigg)(\omega) \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(h(t,\cdot))(\omega)P_j(\theta,t)\,dt$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, all $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and all $\omega \notin E_h$. Moreover, $E_{\psi h} = E_h$ for every analytic function ψ on S which is bounded on \overline{S} .

For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\overline{X}_i = (X_{0i}, X_{1i})$ be admissible couples of quasi-Banach spaces, and let (Y_0, Y_1) be a couple of complex maximal quasi-Banach lattices on a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that each Y_j is p_j -convex for j = 0, 1. Assume that \mathcal{X}_i is a dense linear subspace of $X_{0i} \cap X_{1i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, and that $\{T_z\}_{z \in \overline{S}}$ is an admissible analytic family of multilinear operators $T_z: \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m \to Y_0 \cap Y_1$. Assume that \mathcal{M} is defined on the range of T_z , takes values in $L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, and satisfies conditions (a), (b) and (c).

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

Theorem Suppose that for every $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

 $\|\mathcal{M}(T_{j+it}(x_1,...,x_m))\|_{Y_j} \leqslant K_j(t)\|x_1\|_{X_{j1}}\cdots\|x_m\|_{X_{jm}}, \quad j=0,1,$

where K_j are Lebesgue measurable functions such that $K_j \in L^{p_j}(P_j(\theta, \cdot) dt)$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then for all $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \theta < 1$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}(T_{ heta+is}(x_1,...,x_m))\|_{Y_0^{1- heta}Y_1^ heta}\leqslant C_{ heta}\,\mathcal{K}_{ heta}(s)\,\prod_{i=1}^m\|x_i\|_{(X_{0i},X_{1i})_ heta},$$

where

$$C_{\theta} = \left(M^{(p_0)}(Y_0)\right)^{1-\theta} \left(M^{(p_1)}(Y_1)\right)^{\theta},$$
$$\log K_{\theta}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(\theta, t) \log K_0(t+s) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\theta, t) \log K_1(t+s) dt$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

The preceding theorem has an important application to interpolation of multilinear operators that take values in Hardy spaces. A particular case of the above Theorem arises when:

• $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, μ is Lebesgue measure, and

$$\mathcal{M}(h)(x) = \sup_{\delta>0} |\phi_{\delta} * h(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where ϕ is a Schwartz function on \mathbb{R}^n with nonvanishing integral.

• $Y_0 = L^{p_0}$, $Y_1 = L^{p_1}$, in which case $Y_0^{1-\theta} Y_1^{\theta} = L^p$, where $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$.

Definition The classical Hardy space H^p of Fefferman and Stein is defined by

 $\|h\|_{H^p} := \|\mathcal{M}(h)\|_{L^p}.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ヨー わへの

Corollary If $\{T_z\}$ is an admissible analytic family is such that $\|T_{j+it}(x_1,...,x_m)\|_{H^{p_j}} \leq K_j(t)\|x_1\|_{X_{j1}}\cdots\|x_m\|_{X_{jm}}, \quad j=0,1,$ then

$$\|T_{\theta+s}(x_1,...,x_m)\|_{H^p} \leqslant K_{\theta}(s) \prod_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|_{(X_{0i},X_{1i})_{\theta}}$$

for $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \theta < 1$. Analogous estimates hold for the Hardy-Lorentz spaces $H^{q,r}$ where estimates of the form

$$\|T_{j+it}(x_1,...,x_m)\|_{H^{q_j,r_j}}\leqslant K_j(t)\|x_1\|_{X_{j1}}\cdots\|x_m\|_{X_{jm}}$$

for admissible analytic families $\{T_z\}$ when j = 0, 1 imply

$$\|T_{\theta+is}(x_1,...,x_m)\|_{H^{q,r}} \leqslant C \, K_{\theta}(s) \prod_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|_{(X_{0i},X_{1i})_{\theta}},$$

where

$$C = 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{u q_0^{1-\theta} q_1^{\theta}}{\log 2}\right)^u \left(\frac{q_0}{q_0 - p_0}\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} \left(\frac{q_1}{q_1 - p_1}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}},$$

$$0 < p_j < q_j < \infty, \ p_j \leqslant r_j \leqslant \infty \text{ and } 1/q = (1-\theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1,$$

$$1/r = (1-\theta)/r_0 + \theta/r_1 \text{ while } u = 1 \text{ if } 1 < q_0, q_1 \leqslant \infty \text{ and } 1 \leqslant r_0 \ast r_1 \leqslant \infty_{\text{order}},$$

$$M. \text{ Mastylo (UAM)} \qquad \text{Multilinar interpolation theorems with applications} \qquad 36 / 40$$

An application to the bilinear Bochner-Riesz operators

Stein's motivation to study analytic families of operators might have been the study of the Bochner-Riesz operators

$$B^{\delta}(f)(x) := \int_{|\xi|\leqslant 1} \left(1-|\xi|^2
ight)^{\delta}\widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x\cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

in which the "smoothness" variable δ affects the degree p of integrability of $B^{\delta}(f)$ on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Here f is a Schwartz function on \mathbb{R}^{n} and \hat{f} is its Fourier transform defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark Using interpolation for analytic families of operators, Stein showed that whenever $\delta > (n-1)|1/p - 1/2|$, then

$$B^{\delta} \colon L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \to L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$$

is bounded for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

• The bilinear Bochner-Riesz operators are defined on $S \times S$ by

 $S^{\delta}(f,g)(x) := \iint_{|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 \leqslant 1} \left(1 - |\xi|^2 - |\eta|^2\right)^{\delta} \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta$

for every $f, g \in S$.

• The bilinear Bochner-Riesz means S^z is defined by

$$S^{z}(f,g)(x) = \int \int K_{z}(x-y_{1},x-y_{2})f(y_{1})g(y_{2})dy_{1} dy_{2},$$

where that the kernel of $S^{\delta+it}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\delta+it}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{\Gamma(\delta+1+it)}{\pi^{\delta+it}} \frac{J_{\delta+it+n}(2\pi|x|)}{|x|^{\delta+it+n}}, \quad x = (x_1,x_2).$$

If $\delta > n - 1/2$, then using known asymptotics for Bessel functions we have that this kernel satisfies an estimate of the form:

$$|\mathcal{K}_{\delta+it}(x_1,x_2)|\leqslant rac{C(n+\delta+it)}{(1+|x|)^{\delta+n+1/2}},$$

where $C(n + \delta + it)$ is a constant that satisfies

$$C(n+\delta+it)\leqslant C_{n+\delta}e^{B|t|^2}$$

for some B > 0 and so we have

$$|\kappa_{\delta+it}(x_1, x_2)| \leqslant C_{n+\delta} e^{B|t|^2} rac{1}{(1+|x_1|)^{n+\epsilon}} rac{1}{(1+|x_2|)^{n+\epsilon}}$$

with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\delta - n - 1/2)$. It follows that the bilinear operator $S^{\delta+it}$ is bounded by a product of two linear operators, each of which has a good integrable kernel. It follows that

$$\mathcal{K}^{\delta+it} \colon \mathcal{L}^1 \times \mathcal{L}^1 \to \mathcal{L}^{1/2}$$

with constant $\mathcal{K}_1(t) \leqslant C'_{n+\delta} e^{B|t|^2}$ whenever $\delta > n-1/2$.

Theorem Let $1 . For any <math>\lambda > (2n-1)(1/p - 1/2)$ $S^{\lambda} \colon L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \times L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \to L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ is bounded.