
Topological and algebraic structure of the set of strongly annular functions

Luis Bernal González (University of Sevilla)

Complex and Harmonic Analysis 2011

Málaga (Spain), July 11–14 (2011)

Joint work with Antonio Bonilla

Definition of SA

Motivation: Study of functions in $H(\mathbb{D})$ having fast radial growth. There is **not** any function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty.$$

Definition of SA

Motivation: Study of functions in $H(\mathbb{D})$ having fast radial growth. There is **not** any function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty.$$

- ▶ What about if we **relax** the condition

$$\text{“}\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty\text{”?}$$

Definition of SA

Motivation: Study of functions in $H(\mathbb{D})$ having fast radial growth. There is **not** any function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty.$$

► What about if we **relax** the condition

$$\text{“}\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty\text{”?}$$

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

Definition of SA

Motivation: Study of functions in $H(\mathbb{D})$ having fast radial growth. There is **not** any function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty.$$

- What about if we **relax** the condition

$$\text{“}\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |f(z)| = +\infty\text{”?}$$

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

- Hence $f \in \mathcal{SA} \iff \exists$ a sequence of circles $C_n = \{|z| = r_n\}$ in \mathbb{D} with $r_n \uparrow 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : z \in C_n\} = +\infty$.

Algebraic size, I

But ... do these functions really **exist**?

Algebraic size, I

But ... do these functions really **exist**?

► YES. [Bonar, 1971]:

Take $0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots \rightarrow 1$ and $n_1 := 1$, and choose $n_{k+1} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : (b_k/a_{k+1})^m \leq 1/(3k^2)\}$.

Then $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{3z^{n_k}}{a_k^{n_k}}) \in \mathcal{SA}$.

Algebraic size, I

But ... do these functions really **exist**?

► YES. [Bonar, 1971]:

Take $0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots \rightarrow 1$ and $n_1 := 1$, and choose $n_{k+1} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : (b_k/a_{k+1})^m \leq 1/(3k^2)\}$.

Then $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{3z^{n_k}}{a_k^{n_k}}) \in \mathcal{SA}$.

► An example with **power series**: Take

$0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots \rightarrow 1$ and choose $(n_k) \uparrow \infty$

such that $(r_k/s_k)^{n_k} \geq k(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (r_k/s_j)^{n_j})$ and

$(r_{k-1}/s_k)^{n_k} \leq 1/2^k$ ($k \geq 1$). Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z/s_k)^{n_k} \in \mathcal{SA}$.

Algebraic size, I

But ... do these functions really **exist**?

► YES. [Bonar, 1971]:

Take $0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots \rightarrow 1$ and $n_1 := 1$, and choose $n_{k+1} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : (b_k/a_{k+1})^m \leq 1/(3k^2)\}$.

Then $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{3z^{n_k}}{a_k}) \in \mathcal{SA}$.

► An example with **power series**: Take

$0 < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \dots \rightarrow 1$ and choose $(n_k) \uparrow \infty$

such that $(r_k/s_k)^{n_k} \geq k(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (r_k/s_j)^{n_j})$ and

$(r_{k-1}/s_k)^{n_k} \leq 1/2^k$ ($k \geq 1$). Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z/s_k)^{n_k} \in \mathcal{SA}$.

► There are also explicit constructions of series

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{SA}$ with $a_n \rightarrow 0$.

[Bonar, Carroll and Piranian, 1977].

Topological size, I

- ▶ A very curious fact [Howell, 1975]: There is a SA function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that $a_n \in \{1, -1\}$ ($n \geq 0$).

Topological size, I

- ▶ A very curious fact [Howell, 1975]: There is a SA function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that $a_n \in \{1, -1\}$ ($n \geq 0$).
- ▶ But ... can we get a great deal of SA functions?

Topological size, I

- ▶ A very curious fact [Howell, 1975]: There is a SA function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that $a_n \in \{1, -1\}$ ($n \geq 0$).
- ▶ But ... can we get a great deal of SA functions?
- ▶ [Bonar and Carroll, 1975]:
 \mathcal{SA} is a residual subset of $(H(\mathbb{D}), \tau_c)$.

Topological size, I

- ▶ A very curious fact [Howell, 1975]: There is a SA function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that $a_n \in \{1, -1\}$ ($n \geq 0$).
- ▶ But ... can we get a **great deal** of SA functions?
- ▶ [Bonar and Carroll, 1975]:
 \mathcal{SA} is a **residual** subset of $(H(\mathbb{D}), \tau_c)$.
- ▶ A digression: coming back to an example from the last slide, if
 $X := \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n : \{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \{1, -1\}\}$ then
 $\mathcal{SA} \cap X$ is a **residual** subset of (X, τ_c) [Howell].

Topological size, I

- ▶ A very curious fact [Howell, 1975]: There is a SA function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that $a_n \in \{1, -1\}$ ($n \geq 0$).
- ▶ But ... can we get a great deal of SA functions?
- ▶ [Bonar and Carroll, 1975]:
 \mathcal{SA} is a residual subset of $(H(\mathbb{D}), \tau_c)$.
- ▶ A digression: coming back to an example from the last slide, if
 $X := \{f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n : \{a_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \{1, -1\}\}$ then
 $\mathcal{SA} \cap X$ is a residual subset of (X, τ_c) [Howell].
- ▶ To sum up: \mathcal{SA} is topologically large.

Topological size, II

- ▶ Are there **strict vector subspaces** of $H(\mathbb{D})$ whose SA-functions form a residual subset?

Topological size, II

- Are there **strict vector subspaces** of $H(\mathbb{D})$ whose SA-functions form a residual subset?

Theorem 1: Assume that Y is a Baire topological vector space with $Y \subset H(\mathbb{D})$ such that Y is endowed with a topology τ which is finer than $\tau_c|_Y$. If $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and there is a dense subset \mathcal{D} of Y such that each function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} , then $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y$ is **residual** in Y .

Topological size, II

- ▶ Are there **strict vector subspaces** of $H(\mathbb{D})$ whose SA-functions form a residual subset?

Theorem 1: Assume that Y is a Baire topological vector space with $Y \subset H(\mathbb{D})$ such that Y is endowed with a topology τ which is finer than $\tau_c|_Y$. If $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and there is a dense subset \mathcal{D} of Y such that each function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} , then $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y$ is **residual** in Y .

- ▶ Concrete **examples**?

Topological size, II

- ▶ Are there **strict vector subspaces** of $H(\mathbb{D})$ whose SA-functions form a residual subset?

Theorem 1: Assume that Y is a Baire topological vector space with $Y \subset H(\mathbb{D})$ such that Y is endowed with a topology τ which is finer than $\tau_c|_Y$. If $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and there is a dense subset \mathcal{D} of Y such that each function $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} , then $\mathcal{SA} \cap Y$ is **residual** in Y .

- ▶ Concrete **examples**?
- ▶ Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < +\infty\}$ ($p > 0$) and $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ are discarded, due to Fatou's theorem.
In fact, $H^p(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathcal{SA} = \emptyset$.

Topological size, III

- ▶ A **positive** example: In 2007, Redett constructed a SA-function in each generalized Bergman space, defined for $0 < p < +\infty$, $\alpha > -1$ as $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p (1-r)^\alpha r d\theta dr < +\infty\}$.

Topological size, III

- ▶ A **positive** example: In 2007, Redett constructed a SA-function in each generalized Bergman space, defined for $0 < p < +\infty$, $\alpha > -1$ as $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p (1-r)^\alpha r d\theta dr < +\infty\}$.
- ▶ For the construction, Redett used the following result [Buckley, Koskela and Vukotic, 1999]:
Let $(p_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $p_{n+1} > 2p_n$ ($n \geq 1$), and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{p_n} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p p_n^{-\alpha-1} < +\infty$.

Topological size, III

- ▶ A **positive** example: In 2007, Redett constructed a SA-function in each generalized Bergman space, defined for $0 < p < +\infty$, $\alpha > -1$ as $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p (1-r)^\alpha r d\theta dr < +\infty\}$.
- ▶ For the construction, Redett used the following result [Buckley, Koskela and Vukotic, 1999]:
Let $(p_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $p_{n+1} > 2p_n$ ($n \geq 1$), and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{p_n} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p p_n^{-\alpha-1} < +\infty$.
- ▶ Note that $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is a **Fréchet space** [even a Banach space if $p \geq 1$, and a Hilbert space if $p = 2$].

Topological size, III

- ▶ A **positive** example: In 2007, Redett constructed a SA-function in each generalized Bergman space, defined for $0 < p < +\infty$, $\alpha > -1$ as $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p (1-r)^\alpha r d\theta dr < +\infty\}$.
- ▶ For the construction, Redett used the following result [Buckley, Koskela and Vukotic, 1999]:
Let $(p_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $p_{n+1} > 2p_n$ ($n \geq 1$), and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{p_n} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p p_n^{-\alpha-1} < +\infty$.
- ▶ Note that $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is a **Fréchet space** [even a Banach space if $p \geq 1$, and a Hilbert space if $p = 2$].

Corollary: $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is **residual** in $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.

Topological size, IV

- The sequence of **radii** $\sigma = (r_n) \uparrow 1$ and the **speed** of growth, given by a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ can be prescribed:

Topological size, IV

- The sequence of radii $\sigma = (r_n) \uparrow 1$ and the speed of growth, given by a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ can be prescribed:

Theorem 2: For σ and φ as above, the set $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{|z|=r_n} |f(z)|/\varphi(z) = +\infty\}$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

Topological size, IV

- ▶ The sequence of radii $\sigma = (r_n) \uparrow 1$ and the speed of growth, given by a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ can be prescribed:

Theorem 2: For σ and φ as above, the set $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{|z|=r_n} |f(z)|/\varphi(z) = +\infty\}$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

- ▶ What can be said about the algebraic size of \mathcal{SA} ?
Note \mathcal{SA} is not a VS: take $f \in \mathcal{SA}$ and consider $0 = f + (-f)$.

Topological size, IV

- ▶ The sequence of **radii** $\sigma = (r_n) \uparrow 1$ and the **speed** of growth, given by a continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ can be prescribed:

Theorem 2: For σ and φ as above, the set $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma) := \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{|z|=r_n} |f(z)|/\varphi(z) = +\infty\}$ is **residual** in $H(\mathbb{D})$.

- ▶ What can be said about the **algebraic** size of \mathcal{SA} ?
Note \mathcal{SA} is not a VS: take $f \in \mathcal{SA}$ and consider $0 = f + (-f)$.
- ▶ In the first decade of the present millenium, **Aron, Bayart, Gurariy, Seoane, Quarta and LBG** coined the following notions.

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- μ -lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$
[$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a separable F-space],

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$ [$\Longleftrightarrow \dim(M) = c$, if X a separable F-space],
- **spaceable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a closed infinite dimensional vector subspace of X , and

Algebraic size, I

Definition: Assume that X is a TVS and μ is a cardinal number. A subset $A \subset X$ is called:

- **μ -lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector space M with $\dim(M) = \mu$,
- **dense-lineable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace of X ,
- **maximal dense-lineable** if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense vector subspace M of X with $\dim(M) = \dim(X)$ [$\iff \dim(M) = c$, if X a separable F-space],
- **spaceable** whenever $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a closed infinite dimensional vector subspace of X , and
- **algebrable** if X is a function space and $A \cup \{0\}$ contains some infinitely generated algebra.

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Theorem 3: \mathcal{SA} is maximal dense-lineable.

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Theorem 3: \mathcal{SA} is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof:

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Theorem 3: \mathcal{SA} is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof: Apply Theorem 2 to $\varphi(z) := \exp \frac{1}{1-|z|}$, fix any σ and select $f_0 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$. Then

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Theorem 3: \mathcal{SA} is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof: Apply Theorem 2 to $\varphi(z) := \exp \frac{1}{1-|z|}$, fix any σ and select $f_0 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$. Then
 $M := \text{span} \{ \exp(\alpha \cdot) f_0 : \alpha > 0 \}$
is a VS with $\dim(M) = c$ and $M \subset \mathcal{SA} \cup \{0\}$.

Algebraic size, II

Lemma A [LBG, 2010]: Assume that X is a metrizable separable TVS. Suppose that Γ is a family of linear subspaces of X such that $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is dense in X and $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S)$ is μ -lineable, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Then $\bigcap_{S \in \Gamma} (X \setminus S) \cup \{0\}$ contains a dense μ -dimensional VS.

Theorem 3: \mathcal{SA} is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof: Apply Theorem 2 to $\varphi(z) := \exp \frac{1}{1-|z|}$, fix any σ and select $f_0 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$. Then
$$M := \text{span} \{ \exp(\alpha \cdot) f_0 : \alpha > 0 \}$$
is a VS with $\dim(M) = c$ and $M \subset \mathcal{SA} \cup \{0\}$. Apply Lemma A with $X = H(\mathbb{D})$, $\mu = c$ and $\Gamma = \{ \langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in H(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA} \}$. □

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is algebraable.

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof:

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$.

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$. By induction and Theorem 2, if $f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{N-2}$ have been already determined, define $\varphi_{N-1}(z) := \exp M(f_{N-1}, |z|)$ and choose $f_N \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_{N-1}, \sigma)$.

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$. By induction and Theorem 2, if $f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{N-2}$ have been already determined, define $\varphi_{N-1}(z) := \exp M(f_{N-1}, |z|)$ and choose $f_N \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_{N-1}, \sigma)$. Consider the algebra generated by (f_n) . □

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$. By induction and Theorem 2, if $f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{N-2}$ have been already determined, define $\varphi_{N-1}(z) := \exp M(f_{N-1}, |z|)$ and choose $f_N \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_{N-1}, \sigma)$. Consider the algebra generated by (f_n) . □

Theorem 5: $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is **dense-lineable** in $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$. By induction and Theorem 2, if $f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{N-2}$ have been already determined, define $\varphi_{N-1}(z) := \exp M(f_{N-1}, |z|)$ and choose $f_N \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_{N-1}, \sigma)$. Consider the algebra generated by (f_n) . □

Theorem 5: $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is **dense-lineable** in $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.

Sketch of proof:

Algebraic size, III

Theorem 4: \mathcal{SA} is **algebrable**.

Sketch of proof: Fix any σ and $\varphi_0(z) \equiv 1$. Apply Theorem 2 to select $f_1 \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_0, \sigma)$. By induction and Theorem 2, if $f_1, \dots, f_{N-1}, \varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{N-2}$ have been already determined, define $\varphi_{N-1}(z) := \exp M(f_{N-1}, |z|)$ and choose $f_N \in \mathcal{SA}(\varphi_{N-1}, \sigma)$. Consider the algebra generated by (f_n) . □

Theorem 5: $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is **dense-lineable** in $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$.

Sketch of proof: Use Buckley–Koskela–Vukotic’s result to produce a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ [coefficients a_n should be bigger enough than a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} but not too much!] such that after an infinite partitioning, the resulting f_n ’s are still in \mathcal{SA} . Then

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Apply Lemma A with $\mu = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$, $X = A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA}\}$. □

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Apply Lemma A with $\mu = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$, $X = A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA}\}$. □

- In fact, each $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$ is maximal dense-lineable and algebraable ...

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Apply Lemma A with $\mu = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$, $X = A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and

$\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA}\}.$

□

- In fact, each $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$ is maximal dense-lineable and algebraable ... but we **do not know** whether or not these properties are true for $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ [$H(\mathbb{D})$ -proofs do not adapt].

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Apply Lemma A with $\mu = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$, $X = A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and

$\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA}\}.$

□

- In fact, each $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$ is maximal dense-lineable and algebraable ... but we **do not know** whether or not these properties are true for $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ [$H(\mathbb{D})$ -proofs do not adapt].

Problem:

Algebraic size, IV

$$M := \text{span} \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a VS with $\dim(M) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$.

Apply Lemma A with $\mu = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$, $X = A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ and

$\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \{\text{polynomials}\} : f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathcal{SA}\}$. □

- In fact, each $\mathcal{SA}(\varphi, \sigma)$ is maximal dense-lineable and algebraable ... but we **do not know** whether or not these properties are true for $\mathcal{SA} \cap A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ [$H(\mathbb{D})$ -proofs do not adapt].

Problem: Are these sets **spaceable**?

[Recall: $A \subset X$ TVS is **spaceable** if \exists closed VS $M \subset X$ with $\dim(M) = +\infty$ and $M \subset A \cup \{0\}$]

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

► Trivially, SA-entire functions do **exist** !:

$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty \iff f$ is a nonconstant polynomial.

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

► Trivially, SA-entire functions do **exist** !:

$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty \iff f$ is a nonconstant polynomial.

Hence \mathcal{SA}_e is **dense**.

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

- ▶ Trivially, SA-entire functions do **exist** !:
 $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty \iff f$ is a nonconstant polynomial.
Hence \mathcal{SA}_e is **dense**.
- ▶ Trivially, \mathcal{SA}_e is **lineable**: $z\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{SA}_e \cup \{0\}$.

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

- ▶ Trivially, \mathcal{SA}_e -entire functions do **exist** !:
 $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty \iff f$ is a nonconstant polynomial.
Hence \mathcal{SA}_e is **dense**.
- ▶ Trivially, \mathcal{SA}_e is **lineable**: $z\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{SA}_e \cup \{0\}$.
- ▶ [Wiman, 1915] If f is entire, nonconstant and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(f, r)}{r^{1/2}} < +\infty$ then $f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$.

Entire functions, I

Definition: A function $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is **strongly annular** [$f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$] provided that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty.$$

- ▶ Trivially, \mathcal{SA}_e functions do **exist** !:
 $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\} = +\infty \iff f$ is a nonconstant polynomial.
Hence \mathcal{SA}_e is **dense**.
- ▶ Trivially, \mathcal{SA}_e is **lineable**: $z\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{SA}_e \cup \{0\}$.
- ▶ [Wiman, 1915] If f is entire, nonconstant and
 $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(f, r)}{r^{1/2}} < +\infty$ then $f \in \mathcal{SA}_e$.

Theorem 6: \mathcal{SA}_e is **residual** and **algebrable**.
The same for each family $\mathcal{SA}_e(\varphi, \sigma)$.

Entire functions, II

- ▶ But the proof of the maximal dense lineability do not adapt to the case $H(\mathbb{C})$. We need the following result, which is more precise than Wiman's:

Entire functions, II

- ▶ But the proof of the maximal dense lineability do not adapt to the case $H(\mathbb{C})$. We need the following result, which is more precise than Wiman's:

Lemma B [Pólya, 1922]: If f is entire with

$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\omega < \cos(\pi \rho)$
then $\exists (r_n) \uparrow \infty$ such that $m(f, r_n) > M(f, r_n)^\omega$ for all
 $n \geq 1$.

Entire functions, II

- ▶ But the proof of the maximal dense lineability do not adapt to the case $H(\mathbb{C})$. We need the following result, which is more precise than Wiman's:

Lemma B [Pólya, 1922]: If f is entire with

$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\omega < \cos(\pi \rho)$

then $\exists (r_n) \uparrow \infty$ such that $m(f, r_n) > M(f, r_n)^\omega$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 7: \mathcal{SA}_e is maximal dense-lineable.

Entire functions, II

- ▶ But the proof of the maximal dense lineability do not adapt to the case $H(\mathbb{C})$. We need the following result, which is more precise than Wiman's:

Lemma B [Pólya, 1922]: If f is entire with $\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\omega < \cos(\pi\rho)$ then $\exists (r_n) \uparrow \infty$ such that $m(f, r_n) > M(f, r_n)^\omega$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 7: \mathcal{SA}_e is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof:

Entire functions, II

- ▶ But the proof of the maximal dense lineability do not adapt to the case $H(\mathbb{C})$. We need the following result, which is more precise than Wiman's:

Lemma B [Pólya, 1922]: If f is entire with

$\rho(f) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\omega < \cos(\pi\rho)$ then $\exists (r_n) \uparrow \infty$ such that $m(f, r_n) > M(f, r_n)^\omega$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 7: \mathcal{SA}_e is maximal dense-lineable.

Sketch of proof: The trick is to demonstrate the property for the (smaller) class $S(\gamma) :=$

$\{f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(f, r)}{e^{r^\gamma}} = +\infty\}$, where $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$.

Entire functions, III

Fix $\delta \in (\gamma, 1/2)$. Then $g_0(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n/\delta} z^n$ is entire and satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} = \delta$ [“regular growth”].

Entire functions, III

Fix $\delta \in (\gamma, 1/2)$. Then $g_0(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n/\delta} z^n$ is entire and satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} = \delta$ [“regular growth”].

Since g_0 is not a polynomial, the functions $f_\alpha := g_0(\alpha z)$ ($\alpha > 0$) are linearly independent. Then

Entire functions, III

Fix $\delta \in (\gamma, 1/2)$. Then $g_0(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n/\delta} z^n$ is entire and satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} = \delta$ [“regular growth”].

Since g_0 is not a polynomial, the functions $f_\alpha := g_0(\alpha z)$ ($\alpha > 0$) are linearly independent. Then

$$M := \text{span} \{f_\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$$

is a VS of $H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\dim(M) = c$.

Entire functions, III

Fix $\delta \in (\gamma, 1/2)$. Then $g_0(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n/\delta} z^n$ is entire and satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} = \delta$ [“regular growth”].

Since g_0 is not a polynomial, the functions $f_\alpha := g_0(\alpha z)$ ($\alpha > 0$) are linearly independent. Then

$$M := \text{span} \{f_\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$$

is a VS of $H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\dim(M) = c$.

Using growth regularity + Lemma B one gets $M \subset S(\gamma) \cup \{0\}$.

Entire functions, III

Fix $\delta \in (\gamma, 1/2)$. Then $g_0(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n/\delta} z^n$ is entire and satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(f, r)}{\log r} = \delta$ [“regular growth”].

Since g_0 is not a polynomial, the functions $f_\alpha := g_0(\alpha z)$ ($\alpha > 0$) are linearly independent. Then

$$M := \text{span} \{f_\alpha : \alpha > 0\}$$

is a VS of $H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\dim(M) = c$.

Using growth regularity + Lemma B one gets $M \subset S(\gamma) \cup \{0\}$.

Apply Lemma A with $X = H(\mathbb{C})$, $\mu = c$ and $\Gamma = \{\langle f \rangle + \mathcal{P} : f \in H(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S(\gamma)\}$. □

Problems

Problems:

Problems

Problems:

- Is $S(\gamma)$ maximal dense-lineable for $\gamma \geq 1/2$?

Problems

Problems:

- Is $\mathcal{S}(\gamma)$ maximal dense-lineable for $\gamma \geq 1/2$?
- Is \mathcal{SA}_e spaceable?

Problems

Problems:

- Is $S(\gamma)$ maximal dense-lineable for $\gamma \geq 1/2$?
- Is \mathcal{SA}_e spaceable?

The End