# HYPERCYCLIC SUBSPACES IN OMEGA 
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#### Abstract

We show that any countable family of operators of the form $P(B)$, where $P$ is a non-constant polynomial and $B$ is the backward shift operator on the countably infinite product of lines $\omega$, has a common hypercyclic subspace.


The space $\omega=\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$-i.e., the countably infinite product of the (real or complex) scalar field $\mathbb{K}$, endowed with the product topology- is perhaps the most elementary infinite dimensional Fréchet space. Even so, because it does not support a dense subspace with a continuous norm, it sometimes requires to be considered separately when showing hypercyclic properties for all (separable, infinite dimensional) Fréchet spaces, see for example [12, p. 587-8].

A continuous linear operator $T$ acting on a Fréchet space $X$ is said to be hypercyclic provided there is some vector $z$ in $X$ whose orbit $\left\{z, T z, T^{2} z, \ldots\right\}$ is dense in $X$. Such vector $z$ is called a hypercyclic vector for $T$. A hypercyclic manifold for $T$ is a dense, invariant subspace of $X$ consisting entirely -except for the originof hypercyclic vectors for $T$. A hypercyclic subspace for $T$ is a closed, infinite dimensional subspace of $X$ consisting entirely -except for the origin- of hypercyclic vectors for $T$.

Every separable, infinite dimensional Fréchet space supports a hypercyclic operator; see the works of Ansari [2], Bernal [5], and of Bonet and Peris [12]. It is also well known that once an operator on a Fréchet space has a hypercyclic vector, the smallest manifold invariant for $T$ containing that vector is a hypercyclic manifold; see the works of Bourdon [13], Herrero [19], and Wengenroth [29]. The situation
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for hypercyclic subspaces is different. Consider the backward shift

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right) \stackrel{B}{\mapsto}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots\right)
$$

While Rolewicz [27] showed that each scalar multiple $\lambda B$ is hypercyclic on $\ell_{2}$ whenever the scalar $\lambda$ has modulus strictly larger than 1 , Montes [24] showed that no such operators have a hypercyclic subspace.

Read [26] and Bernal and Montes [7] constructed the first examples of hypercyclic subspaces. In fact, Read's examples include an operator on $\ell_{1}$ for which every nonzero vector in $\ell_{1}$ is hypercyclic. González, León, and Montes [17] showed that if an operator $T$ acting on a Banach space $X$ satisfies that $T \oplus T$ is hypercyclic on $X \times X$, then $T$ has a hypercyclic subspace if and only if there exists a closed, infinite dimensional subspace $X_{0}$ of $X$ and integers $1<n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{n_{k}} x \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0 \quad \text { for each } x \in X_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, moreover, if and only if the essential spectrum of $T$ meets the closed unit disk. Let us stress here that the condition of $T \oplus T$ being hypercyclic on $X \times X$ is very mild, as all hypercyclic operators that we know seem to have this property; see [9]. In fact, the spectral characterization was used by León and Montes to test the existence of hypercyclic subspaces among a wide variety of classes of hypercyclic operators [22]. They also used this characterization to show that every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space supports an operator with a hypercyclic subspace [21].

Moreover, Condition (1) is sufficient to ensure the existence of a hypercyclic subspace well beyond the Banach space setting, as long as the Frèchet space $X$ supports a continuous norm, see [11, Theorem 3.5] and [17, p. 177]. Indeed, L. Bernal [6, Theorem 2.5] and independently, Petersson [25, Theorem 7], used this fact to show that every separable infinite dimensional Fréchet space with a continuous norm supports a hypercyclic subspace.

On the other hand, Bonet, Martínez-Giménez and Peris [11, Remark 3.6] showed that, in general, Condition (1) is no longer sufficient in the case of Fréchet spaces without a continuous norm: the operator $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \stackrel{T}{\mapsto}\left(2 x_{i+1}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ acting on $X=$ $\left\{\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{Z}}:\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{2}\right\}$ satisfies Condition (1) and yet does not have a hypercyclic subspace.

We show in this note that $\omega$ supports operators with a hypercyclic subspace too, even that $\omega$ is known not to have dense subspaces with a continuous norm [23, Corollary 1]. Indeed, we show the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\left(P_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be any sequence of non-constant polynomials, and let $B$ be the backward shift acting on $\omega$. Then the operators $P_{k}(B)(k \in \mathbb{N})$ have a common hypercyclic subspace. That is, there exists a closed infinite dimensional subspace $S$ of $\omega$ satisfying that

$$
\left\{x, P_{k}(B) x, P_{k}^{2}(B) x, \ldots\right\}
$$

is dense in $\omega$ for each $0 \neq x \in S$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1 also improves a result by Herzog and Lemmert [20, Bemerkungen 1], who showed that each operator on $\omega$ of the form $P(B)$, where $P$ is a non-constant polynomial and $B$ the backward shift, has a hypercyclic vector.

For more on hypercyclicity results we refer to the surveys by Grosse-Erdmann $[15,16]$ and by Bonet, Martìnez-Gimènez and Peris [10]. For work on common hypercyclic vectors and common hypercyclic subspaces, we refer to the articles of Abakumov and Gordon [1], Bayart [4], Costakis and Sambarino [14], and by Aron et al. [3].

Before proving Theorem 1 we first show two lemmas. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\Pi_{m}$ denote the standard projection of $\omega$ onto $\mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{m}}$; that is, $\Pi_{m} x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ for each $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in $\omega$.

Lemma 2. Let $T=P(B)$, where $B$ is the backward shift on $\omega$ and $P(t)=$ $a_{1}+a_{2} t+\cdots+a_{d+1} t^{d}$ is any polynomial of degree $d \geq 1$. Then for each $l$, $m \in \mathbb{N},\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{1}$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m d}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{md}}$, there exists a unique $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{1}$ so that

$$
\Pi_{l} T^{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m d}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{l}, h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots\right)=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)
$$

for each $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots$ in $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Notice that each $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \omega$ we have

$$
T x=\left(\left(a_{1} x_{j}+a_{2} x_{j+1}+\cdots+a_{d} x_{j+d-1}\right)+a_{d+1} x_{j+d}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}
$$

and in general, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the $m^{t h}$ iterate of $T$ is of the form

$$
T^{m} x=\left(\varphi_{m, j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{j+m d-1}\right)+\left(a_{d+1}\right)^{m} x_{j+m d}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}
$$

for some linear functions $\varphi_{m, j}: \mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{md}+\mathrm{j}-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(j \in \mathbb{N})$ that are independent of $x$. Thus the Lemma follows, since $a_{d+1} \neq 0$.

Lemma 3. Let $\left[f_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ and no row of zeroes. For each row $f_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, f_{n, 2}, \ldots\right)$, let $a_{n}:=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: f_{n, j} \neq 0\right\}$. Then if $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing
i) $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is linearly independent, and
ii) $\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}}{ }^{\omega}=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} f_{n}:\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}\right\}$.

Proof. Notice that since $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f_{s, a_{s}} \neq 0$ and $f_{n, j}=0$ for each $(n, j) \in(s, \infty) \times\left[1, a_{s}\right]$. Hence (i) follows, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} f_{n}$ converges in $\omega$ for any $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Now, let $g \in \overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}}{ }^{\omega}$. There exist integers $1<r_{1}<r_{2}<\ldots$ and sequences $\left(\alpha_{n, 1}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(\alpha_{n, 2}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ldots$ in $\mathbb{K}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}:=\left(\alpha_{n, 1} f_{1}+\alpha_{n, 2} f_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n, r_{n}} f_{r_{n}}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\left(\alpha_{s}\right)_{s=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{a_{s}}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} f_{s}\right)=\Pi_{a_{s}}(g) \quad(s \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $n>a_{1} \alpha_{n, 1}\left(f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, \ldots, f_{1, a_{1}}\right)=\Pi_{a_{1}}\left(P_{n}\right)$, and so by (2) $\alpha_{n, 1} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \alpha_{1}$ and $\Pi_{a_{1}}(g)=\Pi_{a_{1}}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}\right)$, where $\alpha_{1}=\frac{g_{a_{1}}}{f_{1, a_{1}}}$. Inductively, suppose that we found $\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{K}(1 \leq j \leq s-1)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n, j} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \alpha_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \Pi_{a_{j}}(g)=\Pi_{a_{j}}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{j} f_{j}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $(1 \leq j \leq s-1)$. Again, since $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing, $\Pi_{a_{s}}\left(\alpha_{n, 1} f_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+\alpha_{n, s} f_{s}\right)=\Pi_{a_{s}}\left(P_{n}\right)$ for each $n>s$ and so by (4) and (2) we have $\alpha_{n, s} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \alpha_{s}$ and $\Pi_{a_{s}}(g)=\Pi_{a_{s}}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} f_{s}\right)$, where $\alpha_{s}=\frac{g_{a_{s}}-\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1, a_{s}}+\cdots+\alpha_{s-1} f_{s-1, a_{s}}\right)}{f_{s, a_{s}}}$. So (3) follows.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let $\left\{r_{l}: l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a countable dense set in $\omega$ so that each $r_{l}=\left(r_{l, j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies $r_{l, j} \neq 0$ if and only if $1 \leq j \leq l$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{k}:=P_{k}(B)$ and $d_{k}:=\operatorname{degree}\left(P_{k}\right)$. We make use of the following claim.

Claim 4. There exists an infinite, upper triangular matrix $F=\left[f_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying
a) No row $f_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, f_{n, 2}, \ldots\right)$ is zero.
b) The sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ given by $a_{n}:=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: f_{n, j} \neq 0\right\}$ is strictly increasing.
c) For each $(k, i, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k<i+l$, there exists a positive integer $m_{k, i, l}$ so that

$$
\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} f_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(r_{l, 1}, r_{l, 2}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right) & \text { if } n=i \\ (0,0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq i\end{cases}
$$

Suppose the Claim holds. We show now that $S:={\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}}}$ is a hypercyclic subspace for each $T_{k}(k \in \mathbb{N})$.

By (a), (b), and Lemma 3(i), the closed subspace $S$ is infinite dimensional. Let $0 \neq f \in S$. We show that $f$ is hypercyclic for $T_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3, $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} f_{n}$ for some sequence of scalars $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Multiplying $f$ by a nonzero scalar if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that $\alpha_{i}=1$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. But by (c), for each $l>\max \{k-i, 1\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} f & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} f_{n} \\
& =\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} f_{i} \\
& =\left(r_{l, 1}, r_{l, 2}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $f$ is hypercyclic for $T_{k}$. We finish the proof of Theorem 1 by showing the Claim.

Proof of Claim. Let $M_{0,0}:=1$. Inductively, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
M_{N} & :=d_{N} M_{(N-1),(N-1)^{2}} \\
M_{N, i} & :=2^{N+i} M_{N} \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq N^{2}\right) \\
M_{(N-1),(N-1)^{2}+1} & :=M_{N, 1}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Also, for each $(k, i, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq(i+l)-1$, let

$$
m_{k, i, l}:=\frac{M_{(i+l-1),((k-1)(i+l-1)+i)}}{d_{k}} .
$$

Finally, let $f_{n, j}=0$ for each $(n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times\left[1, M_{1,1}\right]$. We complete the definition of the matrix $F=\left[f_{n, j}\right]$ inductively. At each step $N$ we define $f_{n, j}$ for all $(n, j) \in$ $\mathbb{N} \times\left(M_{N, 1}, M_{N+1,1}\right]$.

Step $N=1$. We define $f_{n, j}$ for all $(n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times\left(M_{1,1}, M_{2,1}\right]$ so that

$$
\Pi_{1} T_{1}^{m_{1,1,1}}\left(f_{n, 1}, f_{n, 2}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{2,1}}, *, *, \ldots\right)= \begin{cases}r_{1,1} & \text { if } n=1  \tag{5}\\ 0 & \text { if } n \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 2 (letting $l=1, m=m_{1,1,1}, T=T_{1}, d=d_{1}, y_{1}=r_{1,1}$ and $x_{j}=f_{1, j}$ $\left.\left(1 \leq j \leq M_{1,1}\right)\right)$, there exists a unique $z \in \mathbb{K}$ so that

$$
\Pi_{1} T_{1}^{m_{1,1,1}}\left(f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, \ldots, f_{1, M_{1,1}}, z, *, *, \ldots\right)=r_{1,1} .
$$

So (5) is satisfied if we define $f_{1, M_{1,1}+1}:=z$, and $f_{n, j}=0$ for each $\left(1, M_{1,1}+1\right) \neq$ $(n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times\left(M_{1,1}, M_{2,1}\right]$.

## $\underline{\text { Step } N}(N \geq 2)$.

We divide this step into $N^{2}$ substeps; one for each $(k, i) \in[1, N] \times[1, N]$. We start with substep N.1.1, and follow with the "lexicographic" order given by the relation $\left(k^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)<(k, i)$ if and only if either $k^{\prime}<k$ or both $k^{\prime}=k$ and $i^{\prime}<i$.

At each substep N.k.i we define the coordinates $f_{n, j}$ for all indexes $(n, j)$ in $\mathbb{N} \times\left(M_{N,(k-1) N+i}, M_{N,(k-1) N+i+1}\right]$, so that

$$
\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(r_{l, 1}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right) & \text { if } n=i  \tag{6}\\
(0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq i
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $g_{n}$ of the form $g_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{N,(k-1) N+i+1}}, *, *, \ldots\right)$ and $l=N+1-i$.

## Substep N.1.1.

Applying $N$ times Lemma 2 (Taking, for each $1 \leq n \leq N: l=N, m=m_{1,1, N}$, $T=T_{1}, d=d_{1}, x_{j}^{(n)}=f_{n, j}\left(1 \leq j \leq M_{N, 1}\right)$, and $\left(y_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots y_{N}^{(n)}\right)=\left(r_{N, 1}, \ldots, r_{N, N}\right)$ if $n=1$ and $\left(y_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, y_{N}^{(n)}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ if $n \neq 1$ ), we get $\left(z_{1}^{(n)}, z_{2}^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{N}^{(n)}\right) \in$
$\mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{N}}(1 \leq n \leq N)$ so that

$$
\Pi_{N} T_{1}^{m_{1,1, N}} g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(r_{N, 1}, \ldots, r_{N, N}\right) & \text { if } n=1  \tag{7}\\
(0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $g_{n}$ of the form $g_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{N, 1}}, z_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{N}^{(n)}, *, *, \ldots\right)$. Hence (6) is satisfied for $(k, i)=(1,1)$ if we define

$$
\left(f_{n, M_{N, 1}+1}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{N, 1}+N}\right)=\left(z_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{N}^{(n)}\right) \quad(1 \leq n \leq N)
$$

and $f_{n, j}=0$ for each $(n, j)$ in either $(\mathbb{N} \backslash\{1, \ldots, N\}) \times\left(M_{N, 1}, M_{N, 2}\right]$ or in $\mathbb{N} \times$ $\left(M_{N, 1}+N+1, M_{N, 2}\right]$.

## Substep N.k.i.

We have already defined $f_{n, j}$ for each $(n, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times\left[1, M_{N,(k-1) N+i}\right]$, so that equation (6) holds for each $(1,1) \leq\left(k^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)<(k, i)$. That is, so that

$$
\Pi_{l} T_{k^{\prime}}^{m_{k^{\prime}, i^{\prime}, l}} g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(r_{l, 1}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right) & \text { if } n=i^{\prime}  \tag{8}\\
(0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq i^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $g_{n} \in \omega$ of the form $g_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{N,\left(k^{\prime}-1\right) N+i^{\prime}+1}}, *, *, \ldots\right)$ and $l=$ $N+1-i^{\prime}$.

We apply $N$ times Lemma 2 ( taking, for each $1 \leq n \leq N: l=N+1-i, m=$ $m_{k, i, l}, T=T_{k}, d=d_{k}, x_{j}^{(n)}=f_{n, j}\left(1 \leq j \leq M_{N,(k-1) N+i}\right)$, and $\left(y_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots y_{l}^{(n)}\right)=$ $\left(r_{l, 1}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right)$ if $n=i$ and $\left(y_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, y_{l}^{(n)}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^{1}$ if $\left.n \neq i\right)$, to obtain $\left(z_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{l}^{(n)}\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{1}(1 \leq n \leq N)$, so that

$$
\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} g_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(r_{l, 1}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right) & \text { if } n=i  \tag{9}\\
(0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq i
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $g_{n} \in \omega$ of the form $g_{n}=\left(f_{n, 1}, \ldots, f_{n, M_{N,(k-1) N+i}}, z_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{l}^{(n)}, *, *, \ldots\right)$ and $l=N+1-i$. So equation (6) is satisfied if we define $f_{n, M_{N,(k-1) N+i}+s}=z_{s}^{(n)}$ when $(n, s) \in[1, N] \times[1, l]$, and $f_{n, j}=0$ for all indexes $(n, j)$ in either $(\mathbb{N} \backslash\{1, \ldots, N\}) \times$ $\left(M_{N,(k-1) N+i}, M_{N,(k-1) N+i+1}\right]$ or in $\{1, \ldots, N\} \times\left(M_{N,(k-1) N+i}+l, M_{N,(k-1) N+i+1}\right]$.

We have now completely defined the matrix $\left[f_{n, j}\right] \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$. Notice that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}, f_{N, j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq M_{N, N}$, and (as defined on substep $N .1 . N$ of step N) $f_{N, M_{N, N}+1} \neq 0$. So $a_{N}=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: f_{N, j} \neq 0\right\}=M_{N, N}+1$, and (a) and
(b) of the Claim hold. Finally, given any $(k, i, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $k<i+l$, our definitions on substep $N . k . i$ of step $N=i+l-1$ given by (6) ensure that

$$
\Pi_{l} T_{k}^{m_{k, i, l}} f_{n}= \begin{cases}\left(r_{l, 1}, \ldots, r_{l, l}\right) & \text { if } n=i \\ (0, \ldots, 0) & \text { if } n \neq i\end{cases}
$$

So Part (c) of the Claim holds, and the proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

Corollary 5. The set of operators on $\omega$ that have a hypercyclic subspace is dense, with respect to the Strong Operator Topology (S. O. T.), in the algebra $L(\omega)$ of all continuous linear operators on $\omega$.

Proof. By a result of Hadwin, Nordgreen, Radjavi and Rosenthal [18] (cf. [8, Corollary 6]), the set of operators on $\omega$ having a hypercyclic subspace, which is invariant under conjugations, must be either empty or S.O.T.-dense in $L(\omega)$. Theorem 1 then gives the desired conclusion.

Remark 6. A simple modification to Lemma 2 allows to generalize Theorem 1 to backward shifts $B_{b}$ with non-zero weights. Namely, if $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of nonzero weights and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right) \stackrel{B_{b}}{\mapsto}\left(b_{2} x_{2}, b_{3} x_{3}, b_{4} x_{4}, \ldots\right)$ is its associated weighted shift on $\omega$, then any countable collection of operators of the form $P\left(B_{b}\right)$, where $P$ is a non-constant polynomial, has a common hypercyclic subspace in $\omega$.

Solving a problem by Salas [28], Abakumov and Gordon [1] showed that the family $\{\lambda B:|\lambda|>1\}$ of all scalar multiples of the backward shift $B$ on $\ell_{2}$ (with the scalars of modulus strictly larger than 1) have a common hypercyclic vector. Hence (cf. also [14, Remark 8.3]) it is natural to ask

Problem 7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of all operators on $\omega$ of the form $P(B)$, where $P$ is a non-constant polynomial and $B$ is the backward shift. Do the operators in $\mathcal{F}$ have a common hypercyclic vector in $\omega$ ? Do they share a common hypercyclic subspace?
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