

Compactness of time-frequency localization operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Carmen Fernández and Antonio Galbis

Departamento de Análisis Matemático

Universidad de Valencia

Doctor Moliner 50

46100 Burjasot (Valencia), Spain

Carmen.Fdez-Rosell@uv.es ; Antonio.Galbis@uv.es

Author to whom mail should be sent:

Antonio Galbis (e-mail: antonio.galbis@uv.es)

Departamento de Análisis Matemático

Universidad de Valencia

C/Dr. Moliner, 50; 46100-Burjasot (Valencia) Spain

Abstract

In this paper, we consider localization operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by symbols in a subclass of the modulation space $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We show that these operators are compact and that this subclass is "optimal" for compactness.

Key words: Localization operator, compact operator, Short-time Fourier transform, Modulation space

2000 MSC: 47G30, 47B10, 46F05

¹ The research of the authors was partially supported by MEC and FEDER, Project MTM2004-02262, by MCYT and MURST-MIUR Acci3n Integrada HI 2003-0066 and by AVCIT Grupos 03/050.

1 Introduction.

The localization operators were introduced by Daubechies [8] in 1988 to localize a signal both in time and frequency. The localization operators are also known in some cases as Toeplitz operators or anti-Wick operators. If $f(t)$ represents a signal, its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\omega)$ represents the distribution of frequencies ω in the signal, but it does not give any information about "when" these frequencies appear. To overcome this difficulty, following an idea due to Gabor, one can consider a cut-off, or window, function $\varphi(t)$ localized around the origin and to analyze the frequencies around a fixed time x , that is, we consider the Fourier transform of $\overline{\varphi(t-x)}f(t)$ thus obtaining a function both of time and frequency

$$V_{\varphi}f(x, \omega) = \int f(t)\overline{\varphi(t-x)}e^{-2\pi i\omega t} dt,$$

which is called *short time Fourier transform* of f (or STFT) with respect to the window φ .

The signal f can be reconstructed from its STFT by the formula

$$f(t) = \int \int V_{\varphi}f(x, \omega)\varphi(t-x)e^{2\pi i\omega t} dx d\omega$$

provided that $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$. It is often convenient, before reconstructing the signal, to modify $V_{\varphi}f$ by multiplying by a suitable function F . In this way, what we recover is a filtered version of the original signal f ,

$$L_{\varphi}^F f(t) = \int \int F(x, \omega)V_{\varphi}f(x, \omega)\varphi(t-x)e^{2\pi i\omega t} dx d\omega,$$

where the integral is interpreted in a weak sense.

Operators as above are called localization operators with symbol F and

window φ . These operators were traditionally defined on L^2 or on some Sobolev spaces, but they can be defined on more general spaces, the so-called modulation spaces. Boundedness and Schatten-class conditions of localization operators have been investigated in [6,7] where it is shown that the sufficient conditions obtained there are, in some sense, optimal. Concerning compactness, as far as we know, only sufficient conditions are given, usually as a consequence of the fact that functions (or distributions) with compact support define compact localization operators (see for instance [2]).

In this paper, we consider localization operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by symbols in a subclass of the modulation space $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We show that these operators are compact and that this subclass is "optimal" for compactness. We also recover the necessary conditions in [7] although our methods are completely different from those in [7].

2 Notation and Preliminaries

We use brackets $\langle f, g \rangle$ to denote the extension to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(t)\overline{g(t)}dt$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and parenthesis (f, g) to denote the bilinear form which defines the dual pair $(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. That is, $\langle f, g \rangle = (f, \bar{g})$.

The modulation and translation operators are defined by

$$M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i \omega t} f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad T_x f(t) = f(t - x).$$

For a non-zero $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of f with respect to the window φ , is

given by

$$V_\varphi f(x, \omega) = \langle f, M_\omega T_x \varphi \rangle.$$

Clearly, $V_\varphi f$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^{2d} . In what follows, all the windows used in the STFT and in the localization operators are assumed to be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$.

Modulation space norms are measures of the time-frequency concentration of a function or distribution. The modulation spaces are defined as follows: Given a non-zero window $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the space $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $V_\varphi f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and it is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}} := \|V_\varphi f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_\varphi f(x, \omega)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\omega \right)^{1/q}.$$

For $p = q$, we simply write M^p .

$M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space and its definition is independent of the choice of the window φ . M^2 is exactly L^2 , and weighted versions produce, among others, the Sobolev spaces (see [6,9]). In the case $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and its dual can be identified with $M^{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. We refer to [9] for the necessary background on modulation spaces.

The following spaces are defined and studied in [1]: We denote by $M^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or simply $M^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the closed subspace of $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $V_\varphi f$ vanishes at infinity, and we denote by $M^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $M^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $p, q < \infty$ the closed normed subspaces of $M^{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $M^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively defined by

$$M^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) := M^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap M^{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$M^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^d) := M^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap M^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $M^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $M^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $M^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the following dualities hold for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$:

$$(M^{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^d))^* = M^{p',1}, \quad (M^{0,q}(\mathbb{R}^d))^* = M^{1,q'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad (M^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d))^* = M^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Given $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\varphi, \psi, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($\varphi, \psi \neq 0$) we define the localization operator $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ as

$$(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F)(g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x, \omega) V_{\varphi} g(x, \omega) e^{2\pi i t \omega} \psi(t - x) dx d\omega.$$

Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we obtain

$$\int (L_{\varphi,\psi}^F)(g)(t) \overline{f(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x, \omega) V_{\varphi} g(x, \omega) \overline{V_{\psi} f(x, \omega)} dx d\omega,$$

that is,

$$\langle (L_{\varphi,\psi}^F)(g), f \rangle = \langle F, V_{\varphi} g \overline{V_{\psi} f} \rangle.$$

The identity above permits to consider localization operators defined by symbols $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and windows $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is a continuous and linear operator $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For $F \equiv 1$ and provided that $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = 1$ the localization operator is just the identity [9, 3.2.3]. In general, localization operators act as follows: given a signal f , with $V_{\varphi} f$ one identifies the frequencies of the signal present in small intervals, and, after filtering through the symbol F , reconstruct a filtered signal. In case φ and ψ are equal to the gaussian $2^{\frac{d}{4}} e^{-\pi x^2}$, the operator $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is called anti-Wick operator. Localization operators are special pseudodifferential operators. In fact, $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ can also be interpreted as a Weyl

operator L_σ with Weyl symbol $\sigma = F * W(\psi, \varphi)$ where $W(\psi, \varphi)(x, \omega) = \int \psi(x + \frac{t}{2}) \overline{\varphi(x - \frac{t}{2})} e^{-2\pi i \omega t} dt$ is the Wigner transform of $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $L_\sigma : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by ([4])

$$\langle L_\sigma f, g \rangle = \langle \sigma, W(g, f) \rangle.$$

By $L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) = L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ we denote the space of all continuous linear operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $K(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) = K(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is the space of all compact linear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For every $T \in K(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the singular numbers $s_n(T)$ of T have the following interpretation (see for instance [12, 16.5])

$$s_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - B\| : B \in L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \text{ and } \dim \text{Im}(B) \leq n\}.$$

The sequence $(s_n(T))_n$ is a null sequence. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Schatten class S_p is the space of compact operators with singular values in ℓ_p . For $p = 1$ we get the space of trace class or nuclear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The trace of a nuclear operator $T \in L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ representable as $T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \cdot, g_n \rangle f_n$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 < +\infty$, is given by

$$\text{Trace } T := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n, g_n \rangle.$$

The dual of the space $K(L^2, L^2)$ of compact operators can be identified with the space S_1 of nuclear operators on L^2 via trace duality:

$$S_1 \rightarrow (K(L^2, L^2))^*, \quad R \mapsto (T \mapsto \text{Trace}(T \circ R)).$$

Also the dual of S_1 is identified with the space of all bounded operators. In general, $(S_p)^*$ ($1 < p < \infty$) [11, 20.2.6] is isomorphic to $S_{p'}$, where p and p' are

conjugate, via trace duality. We refer to [12] for standard notation concerning Banach spaces.

3 Results

Sufficient conditions for compactness of localization operators or pseudodifferential operators are given for instance in [1–3,5], but to our knowledge no characterization of compact localization operators is available in the literature. In this section we introduce a subclass of symbols and we show that each localization operator with symbol in this class and windows in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to $K(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Conversely, we will show that this is the "optimal" class for compactness of the localization operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In what follows, we fix two windows $\varphi, \psi, \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we assume $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

The following result is essentially known ([2]), but we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 3.1 *Let E and F be two Banach spaces and let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a continuous linear map admitting the following factorization $T = Q \circ S_1$ where $Q : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow F$ and $S_1 : E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are continuous and linear. Then T is a compact operator.*

Proof: Let us denote by B_E the closed unit ball of E . Then $S_1(B_E)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, hence it is relatively compact, since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Fréchet-Montel space ([14, p.235]). Now, the continuity of Q shows that $T(B_E)$ is relatively compact. ■

Corollary 3.2 *If $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the operator $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F$ is compact.*

Proof: It is clear that $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F(f) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, it can be factorized as above. ■

We recall the following result, which explains why $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is the optimal class for boundedness of the localization operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 3.3 ([6]) *If $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ then $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F$ can be extended to a bounded operator*

$$L_{\varphi, \psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with norm $\|L_{\varphi, \psi}^F\| \leq C\|F\|_{M^\infty}\|\varphi\|_{M^1}\|\psi\|_{M^1}$ and for some constant $C > 0$.

Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each pair of windows $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with norm estimate

$$\|L_{\varphi, \psi}^F\| \leq C\|\varphi\|_{M^1}\|\psi\|_{M^1}$$

for some constant C depending on F but not on the windows, then $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Then, fixing the windows φ, ψ , the previous theorem gives a continuous linear map

$$T_{\varphi, \psi} : M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

$$F \rightarrow L_{\varphi, \psi}^F$$

The basic idea to get compactness is as follows: Localization operators with symbols and also windows in the Schwartz class are compact and, since compact operators are a closed subspace of the space of all bounded operators

and the Schwartz class is dense in M^0 , localization operators with symbols in M^0 are also compact. However, if we take $F = \delta$ then the corresponding localization operator is compact (it is a rank one operator) although F is not in M^0 since for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $V_\varphi \delta(x, \omega) = \varphi(x)$, which does not tend to zero when x is fixed and $|\omega|$ goes to infinity. Note that the previous statement that $\delta \notin M^0$ does not contradict the fact that δ is the weak limit in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of a sequence of test functions, since $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not contained in M^∞ (see for instance the calculations in [6, Proposition 3.6]).

It follows that the operators given by symbols in M^0 do not exhaust the class of compact localization operators. The example just mentioned suggest the condition in our next results.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be given with the property that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} |V_{g_0} F(x, \xi)| = 0$ for every $R > 0$. Then $F * H \in M^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

To show this lemma we recall the following convolution relation

Lemma 3.5 [6, 2.4] *Let $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g := g_0 * g_0$ be given. Let us assume $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 = \frac{1}{r}$ and $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{s}$. Then, for any $f \in M^{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $h \in M^{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $f * h \in M^{r, s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$V_g(f * h)(\cdot, \omega) = V_{g_0} f(\cdot, \omega) * V_{g_0} h(\cdot, \omega).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4: Since $V_{g_0} H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C_N > 0$ such that

$$|V_{g_0} H(t, \omega)| \leq C_N (1 + |\omega|)^{-2N} (1 + |t|)^{-N}.$$

Consequently, for $g = g_0 * g_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)| &= |(V_{g_0}F(\cdot, \omega) * V_{g_0}H(\cdot, \omega))(x)| \\ &\leq C_N(1 + |\omega|)^{-2N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_{g_0}F(x - t, \omega)| \frac{1}{(1 + |t|)^N} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We take N large enough so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dt}{(1 + |t|)^N} < +\infty$ (for instance, $N = d + 1$).

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is $R_1 > 0$ such that $|\omega| \geq R_1$ implies

$$(1 + |\omega|)^{-N} C_N \|F\|_{M^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dt}{(1 + |t|)^N} \leq \epsilon.$$

Then

$$(1 + |\omega|)^N |V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|\omega| \geq R_1$. We now choose $\delta > 0$ small enough so that

$$\delta + C_N \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dt}{(1 + |t|)^N} \leq \epsilon.$$

Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R_1} |V_{g_0}F(x, \xi)| = 0$ then there is $R_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|t| > R_2} \frac{dt}{(1 + |t|)^N} \leq \frac{\delta}{C_N \|F\|_{M^\infty}}$$

and $|V_{g_0}F(x, \omega)| \leq \delta$ whenever $|x| \geq R_2$ and $|\omega| \leq R_1$. Consequently, for $|x| \geq 2R_2$ and $|\omega| \leq R_1$, we obtain that

$$(1 + |\omega|)^N |V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)|$$

is less than or equal to

$$\delta + C_N (1 + |\omega|)^{-N} \int_{|t| \leq R_2} |V_{g_0}F(x - t, \omega)| \frac{1}{(1 + |t|)^N} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \delta + C_N \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dt}{(1+|t|)^N} \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|x| \geq 2R_2$ gives

$$(1+|\omega|)^N |V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$. That is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)| d\omega \leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d\omega}{(1+|\omega|)^N}$$

for all $|x| \geq 2R_2$. We conclude that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \|V_g(F * H)(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = 0$$

which implies that $F * H \in M^{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, the previous estimates give that $V_g(F * H)$ vanishes at infinity and $F * H \in M^{0,1}$ ([1, def. 2.1]). ■

We observe that lemma 3.4 does not hold for arbitrary $F \in M^\infty$. In fact, if we consider $F \equiv 1 \in M^\infty$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int H = 1$ then $F * H$ is constant equal to 1 and

$$V_g(F * H)(x, \omega) = e^{-2\pi i \omega x} \hat{g}(\omega).$$

That is, for a fixed ω , the function of x , $|V_g(F * H)(x, \omega)|$ is a constant different from zero and, consequently, $F * H \notin M^0$.

The sufficient conditions in [3, 4.6, 4.7] in the case $p = q = 2$ are particular cases of our following result. In the proof we make use of the representation of localization operators as Weyl operators.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be given. If $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} |V_g F(x, \xi)| = 0$ for*

every $R > 0$, then $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a compact operator.

Proof: We put $H := W(\psi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then $\sigma := F * H \in M^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is compact since it coincides with the Weyl operator L_σ and we use [1, 2.3]. ■

Theorem 3.7 [6, 3.4] *The map $T_{\varphi,\psi}$ restricted to $M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ takes values in the space of trace class operators and*

$$T_{\varphi,\psi} : M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow S_1, F \mapsto L_{\varphi,\psi}^F,$$

is continuous.

Now, we look at the transpose map $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t : L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, which assigns to every bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ a symbol in $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We are particularly interested in the action of $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t$ on compact operators and on localization operators.

Since compact linear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be approximated by finite rank operators, we get

Lemma 3.8 $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(K(L^2, L^2))$ is contained in $M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Proof: Since $(M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))^* = M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and M^0 is a closed subspace of M^∞ we only have to prove that $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(S) \in M^0$ for any finite rank operator $S : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$.

To do this, we fix a finite rank operator

$$S := \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \cdot, g_k \rangle f_k$$

where $f_k, g_k \in L^2$. Then, for any $F \in M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we have

$$(T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(S), F) = (S, T_{\varphi,\psi}(F)) = \text{Trace}(S \circ L_{\varphi,\psi}^F).$$

Since the adjoint operator of $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is $L_{\psi,\varphi}^{\overline{F}}$, then

$$S \circ L_{\varphi,\psi}^F = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \cdot, L_{\psi,\varphi}^{\overline{F}} g_k \rangle f_k$$

and

$$\left(T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(S), F \right) = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle f_k, L_{\psi,\varphi}^{\overline{F}} g_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_k \overline{L_{\psi,\varphi}^{\overline{F}} g_k}.$$

On the other hand, for $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_k \overline{L_{\psi,\varphi}^{\overline{F}} g_k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(x, \omega) \overline{V_{\psi} g_k(x, \omega)} V_{\varphi} f_k(x, \omega) dx d\omega.$$

Hence

$$T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(S) = \sum_{k=1}^N \overline{V_{\psi} g_k} \cdot V_{\varphi} f_k,$$

which is an element of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \subset M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. The proof is finished. ■

Our aim now is to find the relation between the symbol $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ of the localization operator $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ and the symbol we obtain as the image of $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ by $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t$. In the next lemma, (x_j, ω_j) are points in the partition we get when we divide the cube $[a, b]^{2d}$ into k^{2d} equal cubes.

Lemma 3.9 *Let $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a test function with support contained in $[a, b]^{2d}$. Then $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is the limit in the space $L(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of the sequence of finite rank operators*

$$S_k : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

defined by

$$S_k := \left(\frac{b-a}{k} \right)^{2d} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{2d}} F(x_j, \omega_j) \langle \cdot, M_{\omega_j} T_{x_j} \varphi \rangle M_{\omega_j} T_{x_j} \psi.$$

Proof: In fact, since for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the map $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$(x, \omega) \mapsto M_\omega T_x f$ is continuous, we conclude that

$$(x, \omega) \mapsto F(x, \omega) \langle \cdot, M_\omega T_x \varphi \rangle M_\omega T_x \psi$$

is a uniformly continuous map

$$\Phi : [a, b]^{2d} \rightarrow L_b \left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right).$$

Then $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is the vector integral

$$L_{\varphi, \psi}^F = \int_{[a, b]^{2d}} \Phi(x, \omega) dx d\omega,$$

and the conclusion follows since each S_k is a Riemann sum of the integral. ■

Proposition 3.10 *For every $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we have*

$$T_{\varphi, \psi}^t (L_{\varphi, \psi}^F) = F * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right).$$

Proof: In fact, let us first assume that $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a test function with support contained in $[a, b]^{2d}$. Then

$$T_{\varphi, \psi}^t (L_{\varphi, \psi}^F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_{\varphi, \psi}^t (S_k).$$

According to lemma 3.8 and after applying ([9, 3.1.3]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\varphi, \psi}^t (S_k) &= \left(\frac{b-a}{k} \right)^{2d} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{2d}} F(x_j, \omega_j) \overline{V_\psi \left(M_{\omega_j} T_{x_j} \varphi \right)} V_\varphi \left(M_{\omega_j} T_{x_j} \psi \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{b-a}{k} \right)^{2d} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{2d}} F(x_j, \omega_j) \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right) (x - x_j, \omega - \omega_j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $T_{\varphi,\psi}^t(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F)(x,\omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b-a}{k}\right)^{2d} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{2d}} F(x_j, \omega_j) \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi\right)(x - x_j, \omega - \omega_j) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F(y, \varsigma) \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi\right)(x - y, \omega - \varsigma) dy d\varsigma \\ &= F * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi\right)(x, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

To finish we consider an arbitrary $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is the bidual of $M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a dense subspace of $M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we can find a net (F_j) consisting of test functions which converges to F in the weak * topology $\sigma(M^\infty, M^1)$.

We now show that $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F$ is the $\sigma(L(L^2, L^2), S_1)$ -limit of the net $L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F_j}$. To do this we fix a nuclear operator

$$S := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \cdot, g_n \rangle f_n$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{L^2} \|g_n\|_{L^2} < +\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F - L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F_j}, S\right) &= \text{Trace} \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F-F_j} \circ S\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle F - F_j, \overline{V_\psi g_n} V_\varphi f_n \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the series $h := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_\psi g_n} V_\varphi f_n$ is absolutely converging in $M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ([6,

5.2]) we conclude that

$$\left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F - L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F_j}, S \right) = \langle F - F_j, h \rangle,$$

hence

$$\lim_j \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F - L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F_j}, S \right) = 0.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\varphi,\psi}^t \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F \right) &= \sigma(M^\infty, M^1) - \lim_j T_{\varphi,\psi}^t \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^{F_j} \right) \\ &= \sigma(M^\infty, M^1) - \lim_j \left(F_j * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for every $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(T_{\varphi,\psi}^t \left(L_{\varphi,\psi}^F \right), g \right) &= \lim_j \left(F_j * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right), g \right) \\ &= \left(F * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right), g \right) \end{aligned}$$

since $\left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right) * g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and (F_j) converges to F weakly in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. ■

Proposition 3.11 *Let $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a symbol such that*

$$L_{\varphi,\psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is a compact operator. Then

$$F * \left(\overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi \right) \in M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

This necessary condition for compactness gives some information as the following example shows.

Example 3.12 Let $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $F \neq 0$, be a periodic distribution. Then $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is not a compact operator.

To obtain a complete characterization of compact localization operators in terms of the symbol we need the following result on collectively compact sets of operators.

Theorem 3.13 [13] Let E, F be Banach spaces and $\mathcal{K} \subset K(E, F)$ a set of compact operators. Then, \mathcal{K} is relatively compact if, and only if, the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\bigcup (R(B_E) : R \in \mathcal{K})$ is relatively compact in F .

(ii) For every $u \in F'$, the set $\{u \circ R : R \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is relatively compact in E^* .

Lemma 3.14 Let $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a symbol and let us assume that $L_{\varphi,\psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a compact operator for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $R > 0$ the set

$$\mathcal{K} := \{L_{\varphi,\psi}^{M_\omega F} : |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is relatively compact in $K(L^2, L^2)$.

Proof: According to [6, p.126], for $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$M_\omega V_\varphi f = V_{M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi} (M_{\omega_1} T_{-\omega_2} f).$$

Hence

$$L_{\varphi,\psi}^{M_\omega F} f = L_{M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi, \psi}^F (M_{\omega_1} T_{-\omega_2} f).$$

In particular, each $L_{\varphi,\psi}^{M_\omega F}$ is a compact operator. Since the map

$$\mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \omega \mapsto M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi,$$

is continuous ([9, 11.2.2]) and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is contained in $M^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with continuous inclusion, we can conclude, after applying [6, 3.2], that the set

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := \{L_{M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi, \psi}^F : |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is a compact subset of $K(L^2, L^2)$. We can now apply 3.13 to show that \mathcal{K} is relatively compact in $K(L^2, L^2)$. In fact, (i) since M_{ω_1} and $T_{-\omega_2}$ are isometries on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we get that

$$\{M_{\omega_1} T_{-\omega_2} f : \|f\|_{L^2} \leq 1, |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is a subset of the unit ball of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since \mathcal{K}_1 is a compact set of compact operators we deduce that

$$\mathcal{K} = \{L_{M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi, \psi}^F \circ (M_{\omega_1} T_{-\omega_2})\}$$

transforms the unit ball into a relatively compact subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover,

(ii) the adjoint map of $L_{\varphi, \psi}^{M_{\omega} F}$ is given by $L_{\psi, \varphi}^{M_{-\omega} \bar{F}}$ and, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can proceed as in (i) to conclude that the set

$$\{L_{\psi, \varphi}^{M_{-\omega} \bar{F}} f : |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is relatively compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Theorem 3.15 *Let $F \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be given. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *The localization operator $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compact for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(b) *For every $R > 0$ we have*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} |V_{g_0} F(x, \xi)| = 0.$$

Proof: (b) implies (a) is the content of proposition 3.6. We proceed to prove that (a) implies (b). To do this, we fix a non zero and even window $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and we take $\psi = \varphi$ and $\Phi := \overline{V_\psi \varphi} V_\varphi \psi = |V_\varphi \varphi|^2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\Phi * \Phi} F(x, \omega) &= \langle F, M_\omega T_x(\Phi * \Phi) \rangle = \langle M_{-\omega} F, \Phi * T_x \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle M_{-\omega} F * \Phi, T_x \Phi \rangle = V_\Phi (M_{-\omega} F * \Phi)(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} := \{L_{\varphi, \varphi}^{M_{-\omega} F} : |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is a relatively compact subset of compact operators then we conclude that

$$T_{\varphi, \varphi}^t(\mathcal{K}) = \{M_{-\omega} F * \Phi : |\omega| \leq R\}$$

is a relatively compact subset of $M^0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since $\{V_\Phi (M_{-\omega} F * \Phi) : |\omega| \leq R\}$ is relatively compact in the Banach space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ consisting of those continuous functions vanishing at infinity, then

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\omega| \leq R} |V_{\Phi * \Phi} F(x, \omega)| = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\omega| \leq R} |V_\Phi (M_{-\omega} F * \Phi)(x, 0)| = 0.$$

Finally, it follows from the inequality [9, 11.3.3]

$$|V_{g_0} F(x, \omega)| \leq \|\gamma\|_{L^2}^{-1} (|V_\gamma F| * |V_{g_0} \gamma|)(x, \omega)$$

for $\gamma = \Phi * \Phi$, that condition (b) holds. ■

Remark 3.16 Our methods permit to recover the necessary Schatten class conditions in [7] as follows. Given two windows φ, ψ in the Schwartz class on \mathbb{R}^d and for $1 < p < \infty$ or $p = 0$ the map

$$T_{\varphi, \psi}^p : M^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow S_p, F \mapsto L_{\varphi, \psi}^F,$$

(here $S_0 := K(L^2, L^2)$) is well-defined and continuous, and in fact we have the norm estimate

$$\|L_{\varphi, \psi}^F\|_{S_p} \leq B \|F\|_{M^p} \|\varphi\|_{M^1} \|\psi\|_{M^1}$$

For $p \neq 0$ this result is part of [7, Th 1]. Clearly $T_{\varphi, \psi}^p|_{M^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ coincides with $T_{\varphi, \psi}$ in Theorem 3.7. Now we use that, for $1 < p < \infty$, $(S_p)^*$ is isomorphic to $S_{p'}$ via trace duality, therefore

$$(T_{\varphi, \psi}^p)^t : S_{p'} \rightarrow M^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

is also linear and continuous and since finite rank operators are dense in $S_{p'}$ and the Schwartz class is dense in $M^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ we can easily conclude that $(T_{\varphi, \psi}^p)^t = T_{\varphi, \psi}^t|_{S_{p'}}$. Consequently, if $M \in M^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and the corresponding localization operator $L_{\varphi, \psi}^M \in S_{p'}$ we have $F * \Phi \in M^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for $\Phi = \overline{V_\psi} \varphi V_\varphi \psi$. Let us now assume that $L_{\varphi, \psi}^F \in S_{p'}$ for every pair of windows φ, ψ in the Schwartz class with norm estimate

$$\|L_{\varphi, \psi}^F\|_{S_p} \leq B \|F\|_{M^p} \|\varphi\|_{M^1} \|\psi\|_{M^1}$$

where the constant B may depend on F but it is independent on the windows. Then, from $L_{\varphi, \psi}^{M_\omega F} f = L_{M_{\omega_1} T_{\omega_2} \varphi, \psi}^F (M_{\omega_1} T_{-\omega_2} f)$ we deduce that $\{L_{\varphi, \psi}^{M_\omega F} : \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}\}$ is a bounded subset of $S_{p'}$, hence $\{M_\omega F * \Phi : \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}\}$ is bounded in $M^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a fortiori in $M^{p', \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Now, we may proceed as in the previous theorem to conclude that $F \in M^{p', \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to Paolo Boggiatto for bringing their attention to this topic.

References

- [1] A. Bényi, K. Gröchenig, C. Heil, K. Okoudjou; *Modulation spaces and a class of multilinear pseudodifferential operators*, J. Operator Theory, to appear.
- [2] P. Boggiatto; *Localization operators with L^p symbols on modulation spaces*, Advances in Pseudodifferential Operators, Operator Theory: Advances and Appl., Birkhäuser, Proc. ISAAC Congress, 2003.
- [3] P. Boggiatto; *Boundedness and compactness of localization operators on modulation spaces*, Quaderni del Dipartimento di matematica, N. 15 (2004).
- [4] P. Boggiatto, E. Cordero, K. Gröchenig; *Generalized Anti-Wick operators with symbols in distributional Sobolev spaces*, Integr. equ. oper. theory **48** (2004), 427-442.
- [5] P. Boggiatto, A. Oliaro, M. W. Wong; *Some results of L^p boundedness and compactness for localization operators*, Preprint (2004).
- [6] E. Cordero, K. Gröchenig; *Time-Frequency analysis of localization operators*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **205** (2003), 107-131.
- [7] E. Cordero, K. Gröchenig; *Necessary conditions for Schatten class localization operators*, PAMS (To appear).
- [8] I. Daubechies; *Time-frequency Localization Operators: a Geometric Phase Space Approach*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **34**(4) (1988), 605-612.
- [9] K. Gröchenig; *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser (2001).
- [10] K. Gröchenig, C. Heil; *Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **34** (1999), 439-457.
- [11] H. Jarchow; *Locally convex spaces*, B. G. Teubner (1981).

- [12] R. Meise, D. Vogt; Introduction to Functional Analysis, *Clarendon Press, Oxford* (1997).
- [13] W. Ruess; *Compactness and collective compactness in spaces of compact operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **84** (2) (1981), 400-417.
- [14] L. Schwartz; Théorie des distributions, *Hermann Paris* (1978).